

ECS 227 — Modern Cryptography — Winter 2009
Phillip Rogaway

Out: Wednesday, 11 February 2009.

Due: Friday, 23 February 2009.

5. (*Increasing the output length of a PRF.*) Suppose you are given a PRF $F : \mathcal{K} \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$. Construct from it a PRF $G : \mathcal{K} \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{2n}$. Formalize and prove that F being a good PRF implies that G will be.
6. (*A stronger notion of encryption-scheme security*) Consider the following notion of security for a symmetric encryption scheme $\Pi = (\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})$, which we might call *indistinguishability from random bits*:

$$\mathbf{Adv}_{\Pi}^{\text{ind}\$}(A) = \Pr[A^{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}(\cdot)}} \Rightarrow 1] - \Pr[A^{\mathcal{S}^{|\cdot|}} \Rightarrow 1]$$

where K is sampled from \mathcal{K} and the second oracle, asked a query X , computes $Y \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{E}_K(X)$ and returns $|Y|$ uniform random bits. (Assume of Π that $|\mathcal{E}_K(X)|$ depends only on $|X|$.) Formalize and prove that security in the ind\\$-sense implies security in the real-or-random sense.