

ECS 227 — Modern Cryptography — Winter 2014

Phillip Rogaway

Out: Wednesday, 19 February 2014.

Due: Monday, 5 March 2014.

1. Consider the following variant of the CBC MAC, intended to allow one to MAC messages of arbitrary length. The construction uses a blockcipher $E: \{0, 1\}^\kappa \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$, which you should assume to be secure in the sense of a PRP. The domain for the MAC is $(\{0, 1\}^n)^+$. To MAC a message M under key $K1 \parallel K2$, where $|K1| = \kappa$ and $|K2| = n$, first compute the “ordinary” CBC MAC of M , keyed by $K1$, and then xor into the result the key $K2$. Show that this MAC is completely insecure: break it (getting advantage of about 1) by a simple adversary that asks a constant number of queries.
2. Let $F: \mathcal{K} \times \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ be a secure but slow and inherently serial MAC: a hardware engine you have to compute F takes t microseconds to MAC a t -byte string. You have a petabyte (10^{15}) of data you need to MAC. On the back of a paper napkin you estimate that your MAC engine will need about 30 years to do its job.

Fortunately, your boss offers to let you have more MAC engines for computing F —as many as you need. Develop and analyze a way to use them to MAC your data in a reasonable amount of time. Your method should give a provably secure MAC if F is a good MAC. Don’t use any cryptographic functionality other than F itself.

3. Let $h: \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ be a collision-intractable hash function. Which of the following functions will be as well? Convincingly explain all answers. Where appropriate, make your reason a counterexample.
 - (a) $H(x) = h(h(x))$
 - (b) $H(x) = h(0 \parallel x) \parallel h(1 \parallel x)$
 - (c) $H(x) = h(0 \parallel x) \oplus h(1 \parallel x)$
 - (d) $H(x) = h(x[1..|x| - 1])$
 - (e) $H(x) = h(x)[1..n - 1]$
4. Formalize and prove the following claim: *nonce-based authenticated encryption implies nonce-based indistinguishability under a CCA.*