

## 4. How to **prove** a problem is NPC

- ▶ The reducibility relation “ $\leq_T$ ” is *transitive*, i.e.,

$$A \leq_T B \quad \text{and} \quad B \leq_T C \quad \text{imply} \quad A \leq_T C$$

- ▶ Therefore, to prove that a problem  $A$  is NPC, we need to
  - (1) show that  $A \in \text{NP}$
  - (2) choose some known NPC problem  $B$ , i.e.,  $B \in \text{NPC}$ ,  
define a polynomial transformation  $T$  from  $B$  to  $A$   
show that  $B \leq_T A$

## 4. How to **prove** a problem is NPC

- ▶ Why sufficient? the logic is as follows:

*Since  $B$  is NPC, all problems in NP is reducible to  $B$ .*

*Show  $B$  is reducible to  $A$ .*

*Then all problems in NP is reducible to  $A$ .*

*Therefore,  $A$  is NPC*

## 4. How to **prove** a problem is NPC

### Example 1.

The *directed HC* is known to be NPC. Use this fact to prove that *Undirected HC* is NPC.

Proof:

- (1) By direct verification, we know that *undirected HC* is in NP.
- (2)

**Step A:** Define a transformation  $T$

**Step B:** Show that

$$\text{directed HC} \leq_T \text{undirected HC}$$

- By (1) and (2), we conclude that the *undirected HC* is NPC.

## 4. How to **prove** a problem is NP-complete

Example 1, cont'd:

We now show that

$$\text{directed HC} \leq_T \text{undirected HC}$$

Step A

- ▶ Define transformation  $T$ :

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a directed graph. Define  $G$  to the undirected graph  $G' = (V', E')$  by the following transformation  $T$ :

- ▶  $v \in V \rightarrow v^1, v^2, v^3 \in V'$  and  $(v^1, v^2), (v^2, v^3) \in E'$
  - ▶  $(u, v) \in E \rightarrow (u^3, v^1) \in E'$
- ▶  $T$  is polynomial-time computable.

## 4. How to **prove** a problem is NP-complete

Example 1, cont'd:

An illustration of such transformation  $T$ :

## 4. How to prove a problem is NPC

Example 1, cont'd

Step B: We show that

$G$  has a HC  $\iff G'$  has a HC.

" $\Rightarrow$ " Suppose that  $G$  has a directed HC:  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_1$  Then

$v_1^1, v_1^2, v_1^3, v_2^1, v_2^2, v_2^3, \dots, v_n^1, v_n^2, v_n^3, v_1^1$

is an undirected HC for  $G'$ .

## 4. How to prove a problem is NPC

### Example 1, cont'd

Step B: We show that

$G$  has a HC  $\iff G'$  has a HC.

“ $\implies$ ” Suppose that  $G$  has a directed HC:  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_1$  Then

$$v_1^1, v_1^2, v_1^3, v_2^1, v_2^2, v_2^3, \dots, v_n^1, v_n^2, v_n^3, v_1^1$$

is an undirected HC for  $G'$ .

- “ $\impliedby$ ”
1. Suppose that  $G'$  has an undirected HC, the three vertices  $v^1, v^2, v^3$  that correspond to one vertex from  $G$  must be traversed **consecutively** in the order  $v^1, v^2, v^3$  or  $v^3, v^2, v^1$ , since  $v^2$  **cannot** be reached from any other vertex in  $G'$ .
  2. Since the other edges in  $G'$  connect vertices with superscripts 1 or 3, if for any one triple the order of the superscripts is 1, 2, 3, then the order is 1, 2, 3 for all triples. Otherwise, it is 3, 2, 1 for all triples.
  3. Therefore, we may assume that the undirected HC of  $G'$  is

$$\underline{v_{i_1}^1, v_{i_1}^2, v_{i_1}^3}, \underline{v_{i_2}^1, v_{i_2}^2, v_{i_2}^3}, \dots, \underline{v_{i_n}^1, v_{i_n}^2, v_{i_n}^3}, \underline{v_{i_1}^1}.$$

Then  $v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_n}, v_{i_1}$  is a directed HC for  $G$ .

## 4. How to prove a problem is NPC

Example 2: Show that

Subset-Sum  $\leq_T$  Set-Partition

Since Subset-Sum is known to be NPC, the above reduction implies that Set-Partition is also NPC.

Subset-Sum decision problem:

Given a positive integer  $c$ , and a set  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$  of positive integers  $s_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Is there a  $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\sum_{i \in J} s_i = c$ ? assume that  $w = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \geq c$ .

Set-Partition decision problem:

Given a set  $S$  of numbers. Can  $S$  be partitioned into two sets  $A$  and  $\bar{A} = S - A$  such that  $\sum_{x \in A} x = \sum_{x \in \bar{A}} x$ ?



## 4. How to **prove** a problem is NPC

### Example 2, cont'd

- ▶ Let  $S$  be an instance of Subset-Sum with  $w = \sum_{s_i \in S} s_i$  and the target  $c$ .
- ▶ Define the set  $S'$  (i.e., the transformation  $T$  from  $S$  to  $S'$ ) as follows:

$$S' = S \cup \{u, v\}, \quad \text{where } u = 2w - c, \quad v = w + c.$$

- ▶ Next to show that

Yes of Subset-Sum of  $S \iff$  Yes of Set-Partition of  $S'$

## 4. How to **prove** a problem is NPC

### Example 2, cont'd

$\implies$  Let  $J \subseteq S$  and the elements in  $J$  sum to  $c$ . Then  $J \cup \{u\}$  sum to  $2w$ . Note that the elements in  $\bar{J} = S - J$  sum to  $w - c$ . Hence,  $\bar{J} \cup \{v\}$  also sums to  $2w$ . Therefore,  $S'$  can be partitioned into  $J \cup \{u\}$  and  $\bar{J} \cup \{v\}$  where both partitions sum to  $2w$ . Thus, Yes of Subset-Sum **transforms** to a Yes of Set-Partition.

## 4. How to **prove** a problem is NPC

### Example 2, cont'd

$\Leftarrow$  Assume  $S'$  can be partitioned into two sets,  $T$  and  $\bar{T} = S' - T$ , such that

$$\sum_{x \in T} x = \sum_{x \in \bar{T}} x. \quad (1)$$

Since  $w + u + v = 4w$ , the sum of the elements in both sets must be equal to  $2w$ . Therefore,  $u$  must be in one set and  $v$  must be in the other because  $u + v = 3w$ . Without loss of generality, let  $u \in T$ . Then

$$2w = \sum_{x \in T} x = u + \sum_{x \in T-u} x = 2w - c + \sum_{x \in T-u} x.$$

It implies that

$$\sum_{x \in T-u} x = c$$

Thus, Yes of Set-Partition **transforms** to Yes of Subset-Sum.  $\square$

## 5. How to solve a NPC problem

### Example 1: Bin Packing problem

*Suppose we have an unlimited number of bins, each of capacity 1, and  $n$  objects with sizes  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$ , where  $0 < s_i \leq 1$ .*

- ▶ **Optimization problem:** Determine the **smallest number** of bins into which objects can be packed and find an optimal packing.
- ▶ **Decision problem:** Do the objects fit in  $k$  bins?

**Theorem.** Bin Packing problem is NPC

*Proof. reduced from the subset sum.*

## 5. How to solve a NP-complete problem

### Approximate algorithm for the Bin Packing

- ▶ *First-fit strategy (greedy):*

*places an object in the first bin into which it fits.*

- ▶ Example: Objects = {0.8, 0.5, 0.4, 0.4, 0.3, 0.2, 0.2, 0.2}

- ▶ *First-fit strategy* solution:

$B_1$	$B_2$	$B_3$	$B_4$
		0.2	
0.2	0.4	0.3	
0.8	0.5	0.4	0.2

- ▶ Optimal packing:

$B_1$	$B_2$	$B_3$
	0.2	0.2
0.2	0.3	0.4
0.8	0.5	0.4

## 5. How to solve a NP-complete problem

**Theorem.** Let  $S = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i$ .

1. The optimal number of bins required is at least  $\lceil S \rceil$
2. The number of bins used by the first-fit strategy is never more than  $\lceil 2S \rceil$ .

## 5. How to solve a NP-complete problem

The vertex-cover problem:

- ▶ A vertex-cover of an undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a subset set of  $V' \subseteq V$  such that if  $(u, v) \in E$ , then  $u \in V'$  (inclusive) or  $v \in V'$ .
- ▶ In other words, each vertex “covers” its incident edges, and a vertex cover for  $G$  is a set of vertices that covers all edges in  $E$ .
- ▶ The size of a vertex cover is the number of vertices in it.
- ▶ **Decision problem:** determine whether a graph has a vertex cover of a given size  $k$
- ▶ **Optimization problem:** find a vertex cover of minimum size.
- ▶ **Theorem.** The vertex-cover problem is NPC.

## 5. How to solve a NP-complete problem

The vertex-cover problem:

- ▶ An approximate algorithm

$$C = \emptyset$$

$$E' = E$$

while  $E' \neq \emptyset$

    let  $(u, v)$  be an arbitrary edge of  $E'$

$$C = C \cup \{u, v\}$$

    remove from  $E'$  every edge incident on either  $u$  or  $v$ .

endwhile

return  $C$

- ▶ **Theorem.** The size of the vertex-cover is no more than twice the size of an optimal vertex cover.