

Shortest paths

- ▶ Generalization of BFS to handle weighted graphs
- ▶ Directed weighted graph $G = (V, E, w)$
- ▶ Weight function $w : E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$
- ▶ Weight of path $p = v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_k$

$$w(p) = \sum_{i=1}^k w(v_{i-1}, v_i)$$

- ▶ Shortest-path weight $u \rightsquigarrow v$

$$\delta(u, v) = \begin{cases} \min\{w(p) : u \rightsquigarrow v\} & \text{if there exists a path } p = u \rightsquigarrow v \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Shortest-path $u \rightsquigarrow v$
any path p such that $w(p) = \delta(u, v)$

Shortest paths

- ▶ **Single-source shortest path problem (SSSP):**

find shortest-paths from a given source vertex $s \in V$ to every vertex $v \in V$

- ▶ Most basic SSSP algorithm: **Bellman-Ford algorithm** (*discussed next*)

- ▶ Variants:

- ▶ **Single-destination:** find shortest-paths to a given destination vertex (*reverse the direction of each edge to become the single-source problem*)

- ▶ **Single-pair:** find shortest-path from u to v (*no way know that's better in worst case than solving single-source*)

- ▶ **All-pairs:** find shortest-paths from u to v for all $u, v \in V$. (*By running Bellman-Ford once for each vertex, cost $O(V^2E) = O(V^4)$ on dense graph. Can do better, see Chapter 25 of CLRS, 3ed*)

Shortest paths

Well-definedness

- ▶ **Negative-weight edges** are OK, as long as **no negative-weight cycles** reachable from the source. Otherwise, can always get a shorter path by going around the cycle again.
- ▶ The shortest path problem is **ill-posed** in graph with negative-weight cycle
- ▶ **Bellman-Ford algorithm** can detect and report the existence of negative-weight cycle

Shortest paths

- ▶ **Optimal substructure property of SSSP:**

subpaths of shortest-paths are shortest-paths.

Proof. If some subpath were not a shortest path, could substitute it and create a shorter total path.

- ▶ Thus, will see **greedy** and **dynamical programming** algorithms.

Shortest paths

- ▶ Notation: $d[v]$: shortest-path estimate
 $\pi[v]$: predecessor of v

- ▶ **Output** of SSSP algorithms

$d[v] = \delta(s, v) =$ shortest-path weight $s \rightsquigarrow v$

$\pi[v] =$ predecessor of v on a shortest path from s .

Shortest paths

Two key components of shortest-path algorithms:

- ▶ Initialization

```
for every vertex  $v$  in  $V$ 
     $d[v] = \text{infty}$ 
     $\text{pi}[v] = \text{nil}$ 
endfor
 $d[s] = 0$     //  $s = \text{source vertex}$ 
```

- ▶ Relaxing an edge (u, v)

can we improve the shortest-path estimate $d[v]$ by going through u and taking the edge (u, v) ?

```
if  $d[v] > d[u] + w(u, v)$ 
     $d[v] = d[u] + w(u, v)$ 
     $\text{pi}[v] = u$ 
endif
```

Shortest paths

Basic properties:

1. **Triangular inequality**

for all $(u, v) \in E$, $\delta(u, v) \leq \delta(u, x) + \delta(x, v)$

2. **Upper-bound property**

Always have $d[v] \geq \delta(s, v)$ for all v .

Once $d[v] = \delta(s, v)$, it never changes

3. **No-path property**

If $\delta(s, v) = \infty$, then $d[v] = \infty$ always

4. **Convergence property**

If $s \rightsquigarrow u \rightarrow v$ is a shortest-path, and $d[u] = \delta(s, u)$. Then after “Relax $u \rightarrow v$ ”, $d[v] = \delta(s, v)$

5. **Path relaxation property**

Let $p = v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_k$ be a shortest-path. If we relax in order, $(v_0, v_1), (v_1, v_2), \dots, (v_{k-1}, v_k)$, even intermixed with other relaxations, then $d[v_k] = \delta(v_0, v_k)$