## Introduction to Deep Learning
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1. Al via Machine Learning has advanced radically over the past 10 year.
2. ML algorithms now achieve human-level performance or better on the tasks such as
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3. Deep Learning becomes the centerpiece of ML toolbox.
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- An ANN in a mathematically term

$$
F(x)=\sigma\left(W^{[4]} \sigma\left(W^{[3]} \underline{\sigma\left(W^{[2]} x+b^{[2]}\right)}+b^{[3]}\right)+b^{[4]}\right)
$$

where

- $p:=\left\{\left(W^{[2]}, b^{[2]}\right),\left(W^{[3]}, b^{[3]}\right),\left(W^{[4]}, b^{[4]}\right)\right\}$ are parameters to be "trained/computed" from training data.
- $\sigma(\cdot)$ is an activiation function, say sigmoid function

$$
\sigma(z)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}
$$
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- Steepest/gradient descent

$$
p \longleftarrow p-\tau \nabla \operatorname{Cost}(p)
$$

where $\tau$ is known as the learning rate.

The underlying operations of DL are stunningly simple, mostly matrix-vector products, but extremely intense.
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1. The recent success of ANNs in ML, despite their long history, can be contributed to a "perfect storm" of

- large labeled datasets;
- improved hardware;
- clever parameter constraints;
- advancements in optimization algorithms;
- more open sharing of stable, reliable code leveraging the latest in methods.

2. ANN is simultaneously one of the simplest and most complex methods:

- learning to model and parameterization
- capable of self-enhancement
- generic computation architecture
- executable on local HPC and on cloud
- broadly applicable but requires good understanding of the underlying problems and algorthms

