

Problem Set 4— Due February 21, 3:15 hardcopy, 10PM electronic

(40) **Problem 1.** We consider the problem of removing negative edges from a graph G with weights $w(i, j)$, that has negative edges but no negative cycles.

a) One simple way to remove negative arcs is to simply add a large constant C to each edge weight, so we get new weights $w'(i, j) = w(i, j) + C$. Give an example to show that this transformation can change what the shortest path is (that is, the sequence of vertices, since of course it changes the actual cost of a path).

We now explore a better approach. Suppose we assign a value $h(v)$ to each vertex in the graph (we will explore what these values should be below). We then create new edge weights $w'(i, j) = w(i, j) + h(i) - h(j)$. for each edge (i, j) in G and call this new graph G' .

b) Show that for a pair of vertices u, v the shortest path from u to v in G and G' are the same (that is, the sequence of vertices, not the sum of the edge weights).

c) We now consider how to compute $h(v)$ values so the $w'(i, j) = w(i, j) + h(i) - h(j)$ are all non-negative. We add a dummy vertex D with an arc of weight zero to every vertex in G . We then compute the shortest path from D to each vertex in G (using Bellman-Ford) and let $h(v)$ be this shortest distance from D to v .

Prove that $w'(i, j) = w(i, j) + h(i) - h(j) \geq 0$ for each edge (i, j) in G .

Note that the results of b and c together show that we can find shortest paths in G' and they will be the same as those in G (and we can now use Dijkstra instead of Bellman-Ford). This isn't of much use if we want to do this once, but it can help if we want to run multiple single source shortest path computations on G (e.g. to solve all-pairs shortest paths, or the setting below).

We apply this result to a (min-cost) flow network G with costs $w(i, j) \geq 0$.

d) Recall that we argued that if we have costs $w(i, j)$ on arcs (along with their normal capacities $c(i, j)$) we could find a minimum-cost flow by repeatedly finding the cheapest augmenting path in G_f where the cost of a forward edge (i, j) in G_f is $w(i, j)$ and for a backward edge (i, j) it is $-w(i, j)$. Since this graph had negative edges, we had to use Bellman-Ford to find shortest A-paths. We now show how to instead maintain a graph like G' that has no negative edges, so we can repeatedly find the cheapest A-path using Dijkstra.

Suppose we have a current flow f such that G_f has no negative cycles. We can thus find values $h(v)$ which is the cheapest path from s to v in G_f . We can then transform the edge weights as in part c to remove all negative edges and get G'_f .

i) Consider a cheapest A-path P in G'_f . Show that all edges on P have weight zero in G'_f (that is, if (i, j) is on P , then $w'(i, j) = 0$).

ii) Conclude from i) that if we augment along P to get new flow \hat{f} and then construct $G_{\hat{f}}$ using the edge weights $w'(i, j)$ from G'_f , then $G_{\hat{f}}$ will have no negative edges.

e) Show that if we have a flow f such that there are no negative cycles in G_f , then when we augment along the cheapest path in G_f we will create a new flow f' such that there are no negative cycles in $G_{f'}$.

Notes: this result further shows that the strategy of repeatedly augmenting along the cheapest A-path will result in a min cost flow. Also, we can (with a bit of care) maintain a residual graph with no negative edges and thus can use Dijkstra's algorithm to find each A-path.

(20) **Problem 2.** Consider the directed *Hamilton Path* problem (DHP) described on page 480.

a) Show that $\text{DHP} \leq_p s, t \text{ Hamilton Path}$

where s, t Hamilton Path takes as input a directed graph $G=(V,E)$ and two designated vertices in V , and returns yes when there is a Hamilton path starting at s and ending at t .

b) Consider the problem of finding a shortest **simple** path in a directed graph (with negative cycles) from s to t (thus you are given a directed graph G , edge weights, possibly negative, and two designated vertices). Show that this problem is NP-hard (hint: Use your result of part a)).

(15) **Problem 3.** Suppose we are given a *Traveling Sales Man* (TSP) problem where cities are points in the plane and distances are actual Euclidean distances (Call this the Euclidean TSP).

Show that the *proof* of theorem 8.18 p. 479 in the text does **not** prove that the Euclidean TSP is NP-hard (this problem is in fact still NP-hard, but you are not asked to prove this). The TSP problem is defined on page 474).

(25) **Problem 4.** The *yes/no clique* problem is: given an undirected graph $G=(V,E)$ and a target integer k , is there a clique of size k ? A *clique* is a set of vertices C in V such that each pair of vertices (u, v) in C , is also an edge in E (thus every pair of vertices in a clique are connected by an edge).

a) Show that the yes/no clique problem is in NP (note, this problem is NP-C but you are NOT being asked to prove that).

b) Show that you can use a program which solves the yes/no clique problem to actually find a clique of size k (when one exists). You should find the clique using a polynomial number of calls to the yes/no clique routine, plus polynomial additional work. Thus you are showing that the problem of finding a clique of a given size is polynomially reducible to yes/no clique.

c) Give a polynomial-time algorithm for *yes/no clique*— when $k < c$ for a constant c . Would your algorithm still run in polynomial time if we restrict k so $k < \log n$, with n the number of vertices in the graph?