

# Computer Forensics and the Insider Problem

Sean Peisert

UCSD/SDSC

October 19, 2004

# Components of *Forensic Analysis*

- Logging
- Auditing
  - Gathering
  - Examining
  - Analyzing

# Important Definitions

- *intent*
- *insider*
- *insider problem*

# Forensics Today

- Computer forensics on UNIX today relies largely on *syslog* and “TCT” to look at files and system state
- Complete lack of structure
- Signal-to-noise ratio for logged events
- Correlation

# Assumptions in this Talk

- Assumptions:
  - Assume insiders are threats
  - Intent is irrelevant
- Questions:
  - How to log better? Log everything?
  - How can we re-create or understand easier ?

# Remaining Talk Overview

Case Studies of Intrusions

Current State of Forensics Research

Synthesizing Ideas From Other Disciplines

Summary and Future Directions

# Lessons from Case Studies?

- *Cuckoo's Egg* (Stoll)
- “Evening with Berferd” (Cheswick)
- *Takedown* (Shimomura/Gross)

# Case Study: Stakkato

- Initial solution was to shut down
- 1 week of syslogs = 28,634,491 lines (3 GB)
- “Logs show failed attempts, not successful ones”
- Summary: Forensic analysis too hard and too time-consuming. Efficiency is needed.



# Forensics:

## What are the desired results?

- Desired end-result?
- Ideal process?
- Problem: Current solutions are lacking.  
Why?

# Existing Forensic Research

- Bishop: *Goal-Oriented Auditing/Logging*
- Gross: *Active Confrontation*
- King/Chen: *Re-Virt, Backtracking Intrusions*
- Operational Tools: Coroner's Toolkit, Sebek, SATAN, others
- Stallard: *Automated Analysis for Digital Forensic Evidence*

# Bishop: Goal-Oriented Auditing/Logging

- What takes a system from a “good state” to a “bad state”?
- What is a “bad state”?
- *Example: Van Doorn “nfs\_shell” exploit*

# Gross: *Active Confrontation* of Computer Intrusions

- Most systems record too much or too little.
- Most systems are passive.
- *KAD* Package
- Most systems are “binary.”
- State-change analysis: *States, actions, and transitions*

# CoVirt Group

- ReVirt (Dunlap and King)
  - Recreate running of a system exactly
  - *Hypervisor* approach
- Backtracking Intrusions (King and Chen)
  - Determine the origins of actions

# Current Forensic Tools

- General application and kernel syslog data
- TCP Wrapper, Tripwire
- COPS, SATAN, nessus
- Coroner's Toolkit, Sleuth Kit
- Honeynet's Sebek
- Solaris SunSHIELD BSM

# Stallard: Automated Analysis

- TCT + Expert System = ?
- $\therefore$  Same problems as TCT.

# Criticisms of current approaches

- U.S.-centric
- Efficiency vs. Effectiveness
  - Existing data ineffective
  - More data inefficient
  - Mutually exclusive?
- Not targeted at “real” systems



# Forensics: Ideas for New Directions

# Synthesizing Cross-Disciplinary Techniques

- Fault-tolerance
- Debugging
- Transactions
- Standard, Statistical, and Temporal Databases
- Intrusion Detection

# Synthesizing Forensics and Fault Tolerance

- Checkpointing and replay
  - LTSS, CTSS, NLTSS
- Message-Logging
  - Bressoud/Schneider: *Hypervisor*
  - Zagorodnov/Marzullo: *FT-TCP*
- Distributed, Heterogeneous Redundancy

# Synthesizing Forensics and Debugging

- Reading code
- Spafford: *Software forensics*
- Program verification
- Regression testing

# Synthesizing Forensics and Transactions

- “Atomic actions”
- Correlating events
- WISE

# Synthesizing Forensics and Databases

- WISE with Database accesses: *Multi-level security* (Baru)
- Standard Databases: Security without uselessness
- Statistical Databases: Defeating *Trackers* (D. Denning)
- Temporal Databases: Recreating Systems (Snodgrass)

# Synthesizing Forensics and Intrusion Detection

- Sommer: IDS audit logs in legal proceedings
- Anomaly Detection
- Misuse Detection (and Specification Detection)

# Synthesizing Forensics and Intrusion Detection: Anomaly Detection

- D. Denning: *Intrusion-Detection Model*
- Forrest/Hofmeyr/Somayaji: *Intrusion Detection Using Series of System Calls*
  - Biological immunology model
  - *s-tide, Primary Response, pH*



# Synthesizing Forensics and Intrusion Detection: Misuse and Specification

- Kemmerer/Ilgun/Porras: *STAT, USTAT*
- Attack languages
  - Kemmerer: *STATL*
  - Templeton/Levitt: *Requires/Provides* model

# Summary and Future

- Improving forensics improves the entire computer security cycle.
- The insider problem can be addressed.
- Future Research Required:
  - Recreation/Replay to improve Logging
  - Multi-Resolution Forensics to improve Auditing
  - Cross-Disciplinary Techniques

# What's Next?

- Do we have to record the entire state of the machine or can security policy limit this?
- Can we use a much lower-maintenance (and non-virtual) system?
- If ReVirt is the “ultimate” logging system, what is the corresponding “ultimate” auditing system?
- How can we take low-level, recorded data and translate it into corresponding high-level events that we can understand?