I.1.(a) Krylov subspace projection methods

Orthogonal projection technique : *framework*

Let A be an $n \times n$ complex matrix and \mathcal{K} be an *m*-dimensional subspace of \mathcal{C}^n . An **orthogonal projection technique** seeks an approximate eigenpair

$$(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{u})$$
 with $\tilde{\lambda} \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{K}$.

This approximate eigenpair is obtained by imposing the following *Galerkin condition*:

$$A\widetilde{u} - \widetilde{\lambda}\widetilde{u} \perp \mathcal{K} , \qquad (1)$$

or, equivalently,

$$v^{H}(A\widetilde{u} - \widetilde{\lambda}\widetilde{u}) = 0, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{K}.$$
 (2)

In matrix form, assume that an orthonormal basis $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$ of \mathcal{K} is available. Denote $V = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k)$, and let $\tilde{u} = Vy$. Then, the condition (2) becomes

$$v_j^H(AVy - \tilde{\lambda}Vy) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Therefore, y and $\tilde{\lambda}$ must satisfy

$$B_k y = \tilde{\lambda} y, \tag{3}$$

where

$$B_k = V^H A V.$$

Each eigenvalue $\tilde{\lambda}_i$ of B_k is called a *Ritz value*, and Vy_i is called *Ritz vector*, where y_i is the eigenvector of B_k associated with $\tilde{\lambda}_i$.

Rayleigh-Ritz procedure - orthogonal projection

- 1. Compute an orthonormal basis $\{v_i\}_{i=1,...,k}$ of the subspace \mathcal{K} . Let $V = (v_1, v_2, ..., v_k)$.
- 2. Compute $B_k = V^H A V$.
- 3. Compute the eigenvalues of B_k and select k_0 desired ones: $\tilde{\lambda}_i, i = 1, 2, ..., k_0$, where $k_0 \leq k$.
- 4. Compute the eigenvectors y_i , $i = 1, ..., k_0$, of B_k associated with $\tilde{\lambda}_i$, $i = 1, ..., k_0$, and the corresponding approximate eigenvectors of A, $\tilde{u}_i = Vy_i$, $i = 1, ..., k_0$.

Oblique projection technique : *framework*

Select two subspaces ${\mathcal L}$ and ${\mathcal K}$ and then seek an approximate eigenpair

$$(\widetilde{\lambda},\widetilde{u})$$
 with $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\widetilde{u} \in \mathcal{K}$

that satisfies the *Petrov-Galerkin condition*:

$$v^{H}(A\widetilde{u} - \widetilde{\lambda}\widetilde{u}) = 0, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{L}.$$
 (4)

In matrix form, let V denote the basis for the subspace \mathcal{K} and W for \mathcal{L} . Then, writing $\tilde{u} = Vy$, the Petrov-Galerkin condition (4) yields the reduced eigenvalue problem

$$B_k y = \tilde{\lambda} C_k y,$$

where

$$B_k = W^H A V$$
 and $C_k = W^H V$.

If $C_k = V^H V = I$, then the two bases are called *biorthonormal*.

In order for a biorthonormal pair V and W to exist the following additional assumption for \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{K} must hold. For any two bases V and W of \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{L} , respectively,

$$\det(W^H V) \neq 0 \quad . \tag{5}$$

Rayleigh-Ritz procedure - oblique projection

- Compute an orthonormal bases {v_i}_{i=1,...,k} of the subspace K. and {w_i}_{i=1,...,k} of the subspace L. Let V = (v₁, v₂, ..., v_k) and W = (w₁, w₂, ..., w_k).
- 2. Compute $B_k = W^H A V$ and $C_k = W^H V$.
- 3. Compute the eigenvalues of $B_k \lambda C_k$ and select k_0 desired ones: $\tilde{\lambda}_i, i = 1, 2, ..., k_0$, where $k_0 \leq k$.
- 4. Compute the right and left eigenvectors y_i and z_i , $i = 1, ..., k_0$, of $B_k - \lambda C_k$ associated with $\tilde{\lambda}_i$, $i = 1, ..., k_0$, and the corresponding approximate right and left eigenvectors of A, $\tilde{u}_i = V y_i$, and $\tilde{v}_i = W z_i$, $i = 1, ..., k_0$.

Optimality

Let $Q = (Q_k, Q_u)$ be an *n*-by-*n* orthogonal matrix, where Q_k is *n*-by-*k*, and Q_u is *n*-by-(n - k), and span $(Q_k) = \mathcal{K}$. Then

$$T = Q^{T}AQ = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{k}^{T}AQ_{k} & Q_{k}^{T}AQ_{u} \\ Q_{u}^{T}AQ_{k} & Q_{u}^{T}AQ_{u} \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} T_{k} & T_{uk} \\ T_{ku} & T_{u} \end{bmatrix}$$

The Ritz values and Ritz vectors are considered *optimal* approximations to the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A from the selected subsapce $\mathcal{K} = \operatorname{span}(Q_k)$ as justified by the follows.

Theorem.

$$\min_{S,k \times k} \|AQ_k - Q_kS\|_2 = \|AQ_k - Q_kT_k\|_2$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{k+1}(A, u_0) = \operatorname{span}\{u_0, Au_0, A^2u_0, \dots, A^ku_0\} \\ = \{q(A)u_0 \mid q \in \mathcal{P}_k\},\$$

where \mathcal{P}_k is the set of all polynomial of degree less than k + 1.

Properties of $\mathcal{K}_{k+1}(A, u_0)$:

1.
$$\mathcal{K}_k(A, u_0) \subset \mathcal{K}_{k+1}(A, u_0)$$
.
 $A\mathcal{K}_k(A, u_0) \subset \mathcal{K}_{k+1}(A, u_0)$.

2. If
$$\sigma \neq 0$$
, $\mathcal{K}_k(A, u_0) = \mathcal{K}_k(\sigma A, u_0) = \mathcal{K}_k(A, \sigma u_0)$.

- 3. For any scalar κ , $\mathcal{K}_k(A, u_0) = \mathcal{K}_k(A \kappa I, u_0)$.
- 4. If W is nonsingular, $\mathcal{K}_k(W^{-1}AW, W^{-1}u_0) = W^{-1}\mathcal{K}_k(A, u_0)$.

An explicit Krylov basis $\{u_0, Au_0, A^2u_0, \ldots, A^ku_0\}$ is not suitable for numerical computing. It is extremely ill-conditioned. Therefore, our first task is to replace a Krylov basis with a better conditioned basis, say an orthonormal basis.

Theorem. Let the columns of $K_{j+1} = (u_0 A u_0 \dots A^j u_0)$ be linearly independent. Let

$$K_{j+1} = U_{j+1}R_{j+1} (6)$$

be the QR factorization of K_{j+1} . Then there is a $(j+1) \times j$ unreduced upper Hessenberg matrix \hat{H}_j such that

$$AU_j = U_{j+1}\widehat{H}_j.$$
(7)

Conversely, if U_{j+1} is orthonormal and satisfies (7), then

$$span(U_{j+1}) = span\{u_0, Au_0, \dots, A^j u_0\}.$$
 (8)

Proof: Partitioning the QR decomposition (6), we have

$$\left(K_j \ A^j u_0\right) = \left(U_j \ u_{j+1}\right) \begin{pmatrix} R_j & r_{j+1} \\ 0 & r_{j+1,j+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $K_j = U_j R_j$ is the QR decomposition of K_j . Then

$$AK_j = AU_jR_j$$

or

$$AU_{j} = AK_{j}R_{j}^{-1} = K_{j+1} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\R_{j}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = U_{j+1}R_{j+1} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\R_{j}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\widehat{H}_j = R_{j+1} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ R_j^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a $(j + 1) \times j$ unreduced upper Hessenberg matrix. Therefore we complete the proof of (7).

Conversely, suppose that U_{j+1} satisfies (7), then by induction, we can prove the identity (8).

Arnoldi decomposition: by partitioning,

$$\widehat{H}_j = \begin{pmatrix} H_j \\ h_{j+1,j} e_j^T \end{pmatrix},$$

the decomposition (7) can be written as follows:

$$AU_j = U_j H_j + h_{j+1,j} u_{j+1} e_j^T.$$
(9)

We call (9) an *Arnoldi decomposition* of order j. The decomposition (7) is a compact form.

Arnoldi procedure

By the Arnoldi decomposition (9), we deduce the following process to generate an orthogonormal basis $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m\}$ of the Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_m(A, v)$:

Arnoldi Process:

1.
$$v_1 = v/||v||_2$$

2. for $j = 1, 2, ..., k$
3. compute $w = Av_j$
4. for $i = 1, 2, ..., j$
5. $h_{ij} = v_i^T w$
6. $w = w - h_{ij}v_i$
7. end for
8. $h_{j+1,j} = ||w||_2$
9. If $h_{j+1,j} = 0$, stop
10. $v_{j+1} = w_j/h_{j+1,j}$
11. endfor

Remarks:

- 1. The matrix A is only referenced via the matrix-vector multiplication Av_j . Therefore, it is ideal for large scale matrices. Any sparsity or structure of a matrix can be exploited.
- 2. The main storage requirement is (m+1)n for storing Arnoldi vectors $\{v_i\}$
- 3. the cost of arithmetic is m matrix-vector products plus $2m^2n$ for the rest. It is common that the matrix-vector multiplication is the dominant cost.
- 4. The Arnoldi procedure breaks down when $h_{j+1,j} = 0$ for some j. It is easy to see that if the Arnoldi procedure breaks down at step j (i.e. $h_{j+1,j} = 0$), then $\mathcal{K}_j = \operatorname{span}(V_j)$ is **invariant** subspace of A.
- 5. Some care must be taken to insure that the vectors v_j remain orthogonal to working accuracy in the presence of rounding error. The usual technique is *reorthogonalization*.

Arnoldi decomposition

• Denote

$$V_k = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \dots & v_k \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$H_{k} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & \cdots & h_{1,k-1} & h_{1k} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & \cdots & h_{2,k-1} & h_{2k} \\ & h_{32} & \cdots & h_{3,k-1} & h_{3k} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & h_{k,k-1} & h_{kk} \end{pmatrix}.$$

• The Arnoldi process can be expressed in the following governing relations:

$$AV_k = V_k H_k + h_{k+1,k} v_{k+1} e_k^T$$
(10)

and

$$V_k^H V_k = I \quad \text{and} \quad V_k^H v_{k+1} = 0.$$

- The decomposition is uniquely determined by the starting vector v (the implicit Q-Theorem).
- Since $V_k^H v_{k+1} = 0$, we have

$$H_k = V_k^T A V_k.$$

• Let μ be an eigenvalue of H_k and y be a corresponding eigenvector y, i.e.,

$$H_k y = \mu y, \quad \|y\|_2 = 1.$$

Then the corresponding Ritz pair is $(\mu, V_k y)$. Applying y to the right hand side of (10), the residual vector for $(\mu, V_k y)$ is given by

$$A(V_k y) - \mu(V_k y) = h_{k+1,k} v_{k+1}(e_k^T y).$$

• Using the backward error interpretation, we know that $(\mu, V_k y)$ is an exact eigenpair of A + E:

$$(A+E)(V_k y) = \mu(V_k y),$$

where

$$||E||_2 = |h_{k+1,k}| \cdot |e_k^T y|.$$

This gives us a criterion of whether to accept the Ritz pair $(\mu, V_k y)$ as an accurate approximate eigenpair of A.

Arnoldi method = RR + Arnoldi

- 1. Choose a starting vector v;
- 2. Generate the Arnoldi decomposition of length k by the Arnoldi process;
- 3. Compute the Ritz pairs and decide which ones are acceptable;
- 4. If necessary, increase k and repeat.

An example

A = sprandn(100,100,0.1) and $v = (1,1,\ldots,1)^T.$

"+" are the eigenvalues of matrix A

" \circ " are the eigenvalues of the upper Hessenberg matrix H_{30}



Observation: *exterior eigenvalues converge first*, a typical convergence phenomenon.

The need of restarting

The algorithm has two nice aspects:

- 1. H_k is already in the Hessenberg form, so we can immediately apply the QR algorithm to find its eigenvalues.
- 2. After we increase k to, say k + p, we only have to orthogonalize p vectors to compute the (k + p)th Arnoldi decomposition. The work already completed previously is not thrown away.

Unfortunately, the algorithm has its drawbacks, too:

- 1. If A is large, we cannot increase k indefinitely, since V_k requires nk memory locations to store.
- 2. We have little control over which eigenpairs the algorithm finds.

Implicit restarting

Goal: purge the unwanted eigenvalues μ from H_k .

1. Exact arithmetic case:

By one step of the QR algorithm with shift μ , we have

$$R = U^H (H - \mu I) =$$
 upper triangular

Note that $H - \mu I$ is singular, hence R must have a zero on its diagonal. Because H is unreduced, then $r_{nn} = 0$.

Furthermore, note that $U = P_{12}P_{23} \cdots P_{n-1,n}$, where $P_{i,i+1}$ is a rotation in the (i, i+1)-plane. Consequently, U is Hessenberg:

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} U_* & u \\ u_{k,k-1}e_{k-1}^T & u_{k,k} \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence

$$H' = RU + \mu I = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{H}_* & \hat{h} \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} = U^H H U.$$

In other words, one step of the shifted QR has found the eigenvalue μ exactly and has deflated the problem.

- 2. Finite precision arithmetic case:
 - In the presence of rounding error, after one step of the shifted QR, we have

$$\widehat{H}' = \widehat{U}^H H \widehat{U} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \widehat{H}_* & \widehat{h} \\ \widehat{h}_{k,k-1} e_{k-1}^T & \widehat{\mu} \end{array} \right).$$

- From the Arnoldi decomposition, we have $AV_k\widehat{U} = V_k\widehat{U}(\widehat{U}^TH_k\widehat{U}) + h_{k+1,k}v_{k+1}e_k^T\widehat{U}.$
- Partition

$$\widehat{V}_k = V_k \widehat{U} = \left(\widehat{V}_{k-1} \ \widehat{v}_k\right)$$

Then

$$A \left(\begin{array}{cc} \widehat{V}_{k-1} & \widehat{v}_k \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \widehat{V}_{k-1} & \widehat{v}_k \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} \widehat{H}_* & \widehat{h} \\ \widehat{h}_{k,k-1} e_{k-1}^T & \widehat{\mu} \end{array} \right) + h_{k+1,k} v_{k+1} \left(\widehat{u}_{k,k-1} e_{k-1}^T & \widehat{\mu} \right)$$

• From the first k - 1 columns of this partition, we get

$$A\widehat{V}_{k-1} = \widehat{V}_{k-1}\widehat{H}_{*} + f e_{k-1}^{T}, \qquad (11)$$

where $f = \hat{h}_{k,k-1}\hat{v}_{k} + h_{k+1,k}\hat{u}_{k,k-1}v_{k+1}$.

- Note that \widehat{H}_* is Hessenberg. f is orthogonal to \widehat{V}_{k-1} . Hence (11) is an Arnoldi decomposition of length k 1.
- The process may be repeated to remove other unwanted values from *H*.

- Observation: in the Arnoldi decomposition, if A is symmetric, then the upper Hessenberg matrix H_j is symmetric tridiagonal.
- The following is a simplified process to compute an orthonormal basis of a Krylov subspace:

Lanczos process:

1
$$q_1 = v/||v||_2, \beta_0 = 0; q_0 = 0;$$

2 for $j = 1$ to k , do
3 $w = Aq_j;$
4 $\alpha_j = q_j^T w;$
5 $w = w - \alpha_j q_j - \beta_{j-1} q_{j-1};$
6 $\beta_j = ||w||_2;$
7 if $\beta_j = 0$, quit;
8 $q_{j+1} = w/\beta_j;$
9 endfor

The symmetric Lanczos algorithm : governing equation

Denote

$$Q_k = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 & q_2 & \dots & q_k \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$T_{k} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1} & \beta_{1} & & \\ \beta_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \beta_{2} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \alpha_{k-1} & \beta_{k-1} \\ & & & \beta_{k-1} & \alpha_{k} \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{tridiag}(\beta_{j}, \alpha_{j}, \beta_{j+1}),$$

the k-step Lanczos process yields

$$AQ_k = Q_k T_k + f_k e_k^T, \quad f_k = \beta_k q_{k+1} \tag{12}$$

and $Q_{k}^{T}Q_{k} = I$ and $Q_{k}^{T}q_{k+1} = 0$.

Let

$$T_k y = \mu y, \quad ||y||_2 = 1.$$

Then

$$A(Q_{k}y) = Q_{k}T_{k}y + f_{k}(e_{k}^{T}y) = \mu(Q_{k}y) + f_{k}(e_{k}^{T}y).$$

Here μ is a *Ritz value*, and $Q_k y$ is the corresponding *Ritz vector*.

Error bound

Lemma. Let *H* be symmetric, and $Hz - \mu z = r$ and $z \neq 0$. Then

$$\min_{\lambda \in \lambda(H)} |\lambda - \mu| \le \frac{\|r\|_2}{\|z\|_2}.$$

Proof: Let $H = U \Lambda U^T$ be the eigen-decomposition of H. Then

$$(H-\mu I)z = r \quad \Rightarrow \quad U(\Lambda-\mu I)U^Tz = r \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\Lambda-\mu I)(U^Tz) = U^Tr.$$

Notice that $\Lambda - \mu I$ is diagonal. Thus

$$||r||_{2} = ||U^{T}r||_{2} = ||(\Lambda - \mu I)(U^{T}z)||_{2}$$

$$\geq \min_{\lambda \in \lambda(H)} |\lambda - \mu| ||U^{T}z||_{2}$$

$$= \min_{\lambda \in \lambda(H)} |\lambda - \mu| ||z||_{2},$$

as expected.

Error bound

- If $f_k(e_k^T y) = 0$ for some k, then the associated Ritz value μ is an eigenvalue of A with the corresponding eigenvector $Q_k y$.
- Let $||r||_2 = ||f_k(e_k^T y)||_2$, then by the lemma, we know that for the Ritz pair $(\mu, Q_k y)$, there is an eigenvalue λ of A, such that

$$|\lambda - \mu| \le \frac{\|f_k(e_k^T y)\|_2}{\|Q_k y\|_2}$$

Lanczos method = **RR** + Lanczos

Simple Lanczos Algorithm:

1.
$$q_1 = v/||v||_2$$
, $\beta_0 = 0$, $q_0 = 0$;
2. for $j = 1$ to k do

2. If
$$j = 1$$
 to n do

3.
$$w = Aq_j;$$

4. $\alpha_j = q_j^T w;$

5.
$$w = w - \alpha_j q_j - \beta_{j-1} q_{j-1};$$

$$6. \qquad \beta_j = \|w\|_2;$$

7. if
$$\beta_j = 0$$
, quit;

8.
$$q_{j+1} = w/\beta_j;$$

9. Compute eigenvalues and eigenvectors of
$$T_j$$

11. endfor

Example

A = a random diagonal matrix A of order n = 1000 $v = (1, 1, ..., 1)^T$ Convergence behavior:



We observe that

- 1. Extreme eigenvalues, i.e., the largest and smallest ones, converge first, and the interior eigenvalues converge last.
- 2. Convergence is monotonic, with the *i*th largest (smallest) eigenvalues of T_k increasing (decreasing) to the *i*th largest (smallest) eigenvalue of A.

 \implies Convergence analysis

• Selects two indices ℓ and u to indicate those Ritz values to be kept at both ends of spectrum:



• The corresponding kept Ritz vectors are denoted by $\widehat{Q}_k = [\widehat{q}_1, \widehat{q}_2, \dots, \widehat{q}_k] = Q_m Y_k,$

where

$$k = \ell + (m - u + 1), \tag{14}$$

(13)

$$Y_k = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_\ell, y_u, y_{u+1}, \dots, y_m],$$
(15)

and y_i is the eigenvector of T_m corresponding to θ_i .

- Sets these Ritz vectors \widehat{Q}_k as the first k basis vectors at the restart and $\widehat{q}_{k+1} = q_{m+1}$.
- To compute the (k + 2)th basis vector \hat{q}_{k+2} , TRLan computes $A\hat{q}_{k+1}$ and orthonormalizes it against the previous k + 1 basis vectors. That is,

$$\widehat{\beta}_{k+1}\widehat{q}_{k+2} = A\widehat{q}_{k+1} - \widehat{Q}_k(\widehat{Q}_k^H A\widehat{q}_{k+1}) - \widehat{q}_{k+1}(\widehat{q}_{k+1}^H A\widehat{q}_{k+1}).$$

Note that $A\widehat{Q}_k$ satisfies the relation:

$$A\widehat{Q}_k = \widehat{Q}_k D_k + \beta_m \widehat{q}_{k+1} s^H,$$

where D_k is the $k \times k$ diagonal matrix whose diagonal elements are the kept Ritz values, and $s = Y_k^H e_m$.

Thus, the coefficients $\widehat{Q}_k^H A \widehat{q}_{k+1}$ can be computed efficiently:

$$\widehat{Q}_{k}^{H}A\widehat{q}_{k+1} = (A\widehat{Q}_{k})^{H}\widehat{q}_{k+1} = (\widehat{Q}_{k}D_{k} + \beta_{m}\widehat{q}_{k+1}s^{H})^{H}\widehat{q}_{k+1}
= D_{k}Y_{k}^{H}(Q_{m}^{H}q_{m+1}) + \beta_{m}s(\widehat{q}_{k+1}^{H}\widehat{q}_{k+1}) = \beta_{m}s.$$

• Then after the first iteration after the restart, we have

$$A\widehat{Q}_{k+1} = \widehat{Q}_{k+1}\widehat{T}_{k+1} + \widehat{\beta}_{k+1}\widehat{q}_{k+2}e^H_{k+i},$$

where

$$\widehat{T}_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} D_k & \beta_m s \\ \beta_m s^H & \widehat{\alpha}_{k+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

• In general, at the *i*th iteration after the restart, the new basis vector \hat{q}_{k+i+1} satisfies the relation:

$$\begin{split} A\widehat{Q}_{k+i} &= \widehat{Q}_{k+i}\widehat{T}_{k+i} + \widehat{\beta}_{k+i}\widehat{q}_{k+i+1}e_{k+i}^{H},\\ \text{where }\widehat{T}_{k+i} &= \widehat{Q}_{k+i}^{H}A\widehat{Q}_{k+i} \text{ is of the form} \\ \\ \widehat{T}_{k+i} &= \begin{pmatrix} D_{k} & \beta_{m}s \\ \beta_{m}s^{H} & \widehat{\alpha}_{k+1} & \widehat{\beta}_{k+1} \\ & \widehat{\beta}_{k+1} & \widehat{\alpha}_{k+2} & \widehat{\beta}_{k+2} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & \widehat{\beta}_{k+i-2} & \widehat{\alpha}_{k+i-1} & \widehat{\beta}_{k+i-1} \\ & & & \widehat{\beta}_{k+i-1} & \widehat{\alpha}_{k+i} \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

• Note that the three-term recurrence is not valid only for computing the (k + 2)th basis vector and is resumed afterward.