

I) Propositions (statements)

A sentence that has a value of true (T) or false (F)

II) Compound propositions.

a) Compound proposition involving a single proposition:

not: $\neg P$

P	$\neg P$	$\neg(\neg P)$
T	F	T
F	T	F

b) Compound propositions that are based on 2 propositions.

P	Q	AND $P \wedge Q$	OR $P \vee Q$	XOR $P \oplus Q$
T	T	T	T	F
T	F	F	T	T
F	T	F	T	T
F	F	F	F	F

Conditional :

$$p \rightarrow q$$

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

Biconditional :

$$p \leftrightarrow q$$

p	q	$p \leftrightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

$P \rightarrow Q$

If \checkmark you cheat during the exam, then you get a ϕ

P : \checkmark "You cheat during the exam"

Q : \checkmark "You get a ϕ "

P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

II) Comparison of propositions

"Equal"

Proposition equivalence

$$A = B$$

$$p \Leftrightarrow q$$

>

<

III) Special propositions

- A proposition p that is always true is called a tautology.
- A proposition p that is always false is called a contradiction.

Example:

let p be a proposition. $p \vee \neg p$
 is a tautology.

(4)

P	$\neg P$	$P \vee \neg P$	$P \wedge \neg P$
T	F	T	F
F	T	T	F

tautology
contradiction

Properties:

$$\neg(\neg P) \Leftrightarrow P$$

$$P \vee P \Leftrightarrow P$$

$$P \wedge P \Leftrightarrow P$$

$$P \vee Q \Leftrightarrow Q \vee P$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \neg(P \wedge Q) &\Leftrightarrow \neg P \vee \neg Q \\ \neg(P \vee Q) &\Leftrightarrow \neg P \wedge \neg Q \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{De Morgan's} \\ \text{Law} \end{array}$$

$$P \vee \neg P \Leftrightarrow T \quad \text{tautology}$$

$$P \wedge \neg P \Leftrightarrow F \quad \text{contradiction}$$

Exercise:

5

Show that

$A = (P \wedge Q) \vee (\neg P \vee \neg Q)$ is a tautology

safe method

P	Q	$P \wedge Q$	$\neg P$	$\neg Q$	$\neg P \vee \neg Q$	A
T	T	T	F	F	F	T
T	F	F	F	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	T	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	T

$$2) \quad B = P \wedge Q$$
$$\neg B = \neg(P \wedge Q) = \neg P \vee \neg Q$$

$$A = B \vee \neg B \Leftrightarrow T$$

3.4 List of important logical equivalences

Let p , q , and r be two propositions. T is a tautology and F is a contradiction.

Logical equivalence	Name
$\neg(\neg p) \Leftrightarrow p$	Double negation
$p \vee p \Leftrightarrow p$	Idempotent 1
$p \wedge p \Leftrightarrow p$	Idempotent 2
$p \vee q \Leftrightarrow q \vee p$	Commutativity 1
$p \wedge q \Leftrightarrow q \wedge p$	Commutativity 2
$p \vee (q \vee r) \Leftrightarrow (p \vee q) \vee r$	Associativity 1
$p \wedge (q \wedge r) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge q) \wedge r$	Associativity 2
$p \vee (q \wedge r) \Leftrightarrow (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$	Distributivity 1
$p \wedge (q \vee r) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$	Distributivity 2
$\neg(p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow \neg p \vee \neg q$	De Morgan's law 1
$\neg(p \vee q) \Leftrightarrow \neg p \wedge \neg q$	De Morgan's law 2
$p \vee F \Leftrightarrow p$	Absorption law 1
$p \vee T \Leftrightarrow T$	Absorption law 2
$p \wedge F \Leftrightarrow F$	Absorption law 3
$p \wedge T \Leftrightarrow p$	Absorption law 4
$\neg T \Leftrightarrow F$	Complement law 1
$\neg F \Leftrightarrow T$	Complement law 2
$p \vee \neg p \Leftrightarrow T$	Complement law 3
$p \wedge \neg p \Leftrightarrow F$	Complement law 4
$p \rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \vee q$	Implication law 1
$p \rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	Implication law 2
$(p \leftrightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$	Equivalence law

