# Midterm 2: Solutions

ECS20 (Winter 2019)

February, 2019

# Part I: Proofs

Let a and b be two real numbers with  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ . Use a proof by contradiction to show that if ab > 0, then  $\frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{a} \ge 2$ .

Let:

p: ab > 0

q:  $\frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{a} \ge 2$ 

and let A be the proposition  $p \to q$ . We want to show that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , A is true. We use a proof by contradiction, i.e. we suppose that what we want to show is false, namely that  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ , A is not true, i.e.  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ , p is true AND q is false.

p is true: ab > 0. Similarly, as q is false,  $\frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{a} < 2$ . As ab > 0, we can multiply this inequality by ab without changing its sense; we get:

$$a^2 + b^2 < 2ab$$

which gives

$$a^2 + b^2 - 2ab < 0$$

i.e.

$$(a-b)^2 < 0$$

However,  $(a - b)^2$  is a square, and therefore  $(a - b)^2 \ge 0$ . we have reached a contradiction. The proposition A is therefore true.

# Part II: Sets

Let A, B, and C be three sets in a domain D. Consider the following possible equalities,  $(A \cap B) - C = (A - C) \cap (B - C)$  and  $C - (A \cap B) = (C - A) \cap (C - B)$ . Show that one of these equalities is always true, but the other can be false (for the latter, give an example).

We check the first proposition. We can use a proof by membership table. I will use the set identities. Let  $LHS = A \bigcap B - C$  and  $RHS = (A - C) \bigcap (B - C)$ .

Then:

$$LHS = (A \bigcap B) \bigcap \overline{C}$$
$$= A \bigcap B \bigcap \overline{C}$$

and

$$RHS = (A - C) \bigcap (B - C)$$
$$= A \bigcap \overline{C} \bigcap B \bigcap \overline{C}$$
$$= A \bigcap B \bigcap \overline{C}$$
$$= LHS$$

Therefore the two sets LHS and RHS are equal.

The second proposition can therefore be false. Let us build the membership table for  $LHS = C - A \bigcap B$  and  $RHS = (C - A) \bigcap (C - B)$ .

A	В	C	$A \bigcap B$	LHS	C - A	C - B	RHS
-	-	-	-	0	0	0	0
1	1	T	1	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notice that the membership values for LHS and RHS do not match: see the two rows with the red values. This happens when there is a value in C and A, but not in B, or a value in C and B, but not in A. This allows us to construct a counter example. Let for example  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $B = \{3, 4, 5\}$ , and  $C = \{1, 5, 7\}$ . Then:

$$LHS = C - (A \bigcap B)$$
  
= {1,5,7} - {3}  
= {1,5,7}

and

$$LHS = (C - A) \bigcap (C - B)$$
  
= {5,7} \begin{tabular}{l} & \{5,7\} \\ & = \{7\} \end{tabular}

In this case,  $LHS \neq RHS$ .

2. Let A, B, and C be three sets in a domain D; we assume that  $A - C \subset B$ . x is an element of D. Show that if  $x \in A - B$ , then  $x \in C$ .

We need to prove an implication of the form  $p \to q$ , where:

 $p: x \in A - B$  $q: x \in C.$ 

We will use a proof by contradiction.

Hypothesis:  $p \to q$  is false, i.e. p is true and  $\neg q$  is true, namely  $x \in A - B$ , and  $x \notin C$ . We also know that  $A - C \subset B$ .

Let x be an element of D with  $x \in A - B$ . By definition of the difference between two sets,  $x \in A$ , and  $x \notin B$ . Since  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin C$ ,  $x \in A - C$ . As  $A - C \subset B$ , we have  $x \in B$ . This leads to  $x \notin B$  and  $x \in B$ , which is a contradiction.

Therefore, the hypothesis that  $p \to q$  is false, is false, and  $p \to q$  is true. This concludes the proof.

# Part III: functions

1) Let n and m be two integers. Solve  $\lfloor \frac{n+m}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{n-m+1}{2} \rfloor = n$ .

Let n and m be two integers. Let us define  $LHS = \lfloor \frac{n+m}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{n-m+1}{2} \rfloor$ . Notice that as we consider division by 2, we will consider parity, and use a proof by case. We consider the parity of n + m:

a) n + m is even. There exists an integer k such that n + m = 2k. We note also the n - m = n + m - 2m = 2k - 2m. Then

$$LHS = \lfloor \frac{n+m}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{n-m+1}{2} \rfloor$$
$$= \lfloor \frac{2k}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{2k-2m+1}{2} \rfloor$$
$$= \lfloor k \rfloor + \lfloor k - m + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$$
$$= k+k-m+\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$$
$$= 2k-m$$
$$= n+m-m$$
$$= n$$

b) n + m is odd. There exists an integer k such that n + m = 2k + 1. We note also the n - m = n + m - 2m = 2k + 1 - 2m. Then

$$LHS = \lfloor \frac{n+m}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{n-m+1}{2} \rfloor$$
$$= \lfloor \frac{2k+1}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{2k-2m+2}{2} \rfloor$$
$$= \lfloor k + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor k - m + 1 \rfloor$$
$$= k+k-m+1 \rfloor$$
$$= 2k+1-m$$
$$= n+m-m$$
$$= n$$

In all cases, we have LHS = n.

2) Let x be a real number, and let n be a natural number. Show that  $\lfloor \frac{x+3}{n} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{\lfloor x \rfloor + 3}{n} \rfloor$ .

Let us define  $l = \lfloor \frac{x+3}{n} \rfloor$  and  $m = \lfloor x \rfloor$  (l and m are both integers). By definition of floor, we have the two properties:

 $l \leq \frac{x+3}{n} < l+1$ 

and

 $m \le x < m + 1$ 

Let us multiply the first inequality by n:

 $nl \le x + 3 < n(l+1)$ 

Now we subtract 3 from the same inequalities:

 $nl - 3 \le x < n(l + 1) - 3$ 

We notice that:

 $m \le x$  and x < n(l+1) - 3; therefore m < n(l+1) - 3.

 $m \leq x$  and  $nl-3 \leq x$ . Therefore m and nl-3 are two integers smaller than x. By definition of floor, m is the largest integer smaller that x. Therefore  $nl - 3 \le m$ .

Combining those two inequalities, we get  $nl - 3 \le m < n(l+1) - 3$ . After addition of 3, and division by  $n, l \le \frac{m+3}{n} < l+1$ . Therefore l is the floor of  $\frac{m+3}{n}$ . Replacing l and m by their values, we get:

$$l = \left\lfloor \frac{x+3}{n} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{m+3}{n} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{\lfloor x \rfloor + 3}{n} \right\rfloor$$

The property is therefore true.

# Part III: Proofs

Show that  $\{p \mid p \text{ is prime}\} \cap \{k^2 - 1 \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\} = 3.$ 

Let  $A = \{p \mid p \text{ is prime}\}$  and  $B = \{k^2 - 1 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Both sets are sets of integers. An element n of  $A \cap B$  satisfy the two properties:

- a) n is prime
- b) There exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $n = k^2 1$

Notice that  $n = k^2 - 1 = (k - 1)(k + 1)$ . As n is prime, and  $k \ge 1$ , we must have k - 1 = 1, i.e. k = 2. Therefore n = 3, and  $A \cap B = \{3\}$ .

#### Extra credit

Let x be a real number. Solve  $\frac{x-1}{2} = \lfloor \frac{x}{2} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{x+1}{2} \rfloor$ . We notice first that  $\frac{x-1}{2}$  must be an integer, as it is the difference between two floors. Notice also that  $\frac{x+1}{2} = \frac{x-1}{2} + 1$  and therefore  $\frac{x+1}{2}$  is also an integer. Replacing in the equation above, we get:

$$\frac{x-1}{2} + \lfloor \frac{x+1}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{x}{2} \rfloor$$
$$\frac{x-1}{2} + \frac{x+1}{2} = \lfloor \frac{x}{2} \rfloor$$
$$x = \lfloor \frac{x}{2} \rfloor$$

Therefore x is also an integer. We consider 2 cases:

a) x is even. There exists an integer k such that x = 2k. The equation becomes:

$$2k = \lfloor \frac{x}{2} \rfloor$$
$$= \lfloor \frac{2k}{2} \rfloor$$
$$= k$$

This would mean that k = 0, i.e. x = 0. But then  $\frac{x-1}{2}$  would not be an integer. There are no even solutions to the equation.

b) x is odd. There exists an integer k such that x = 2k + 1. The equation becomes:

$$2k + 1 = \lfloor \frac{x}{2} \rfloor$$
$$= \lfloor \frac{2k + 1}{2} \rfloor$$
$$= k$$

This equation has k = -1 for solution, in which case x = -1.

Verification:

- a)  $\frac{x-1}{2} = -1$
- b)  $\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{x+1}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{-1}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{-1+1}{2} \rfloor = -1$

Therefore the only solution to the equation is x = -1.