

Lecture Notes – April 20, 2010

Min-Cost Flow, shortest A-Path Algorithm

Given a flow network G that also has arc costs $w(i, j)$, we want to find a min-cost flow (this could be a maximum flow, a flow of a specific value, or the largest flow not exceeding a target). We can solve all of these versions by starting with the zero flow and repeatedly finding the cheapest (shortest with respect to $w(i, j)$) A-path in the residual graph and augmenting along it. In general, the residual graph will have negative arcs (either from negative $w(i, j)$ values, or from back edges whose weights are the negative of the original weights). To avoid using an expensive shortest path algorithm (e.g Bellman-Ford, BF) for negative weights, we adjust the weights at each step to ensure they are all positive, so we can use Dijkstra to find shortest A-paths.

The adjusted weights will be formed by computing distance labels $d(v)$ for each vertex v . These are the shortest distance from s to v in G_f . We then use

$$\hat{w}(i, j) \leftarrow w(i, j) + d(i) - d(j)$$

These values are always non-negative (by the properties of shortest paths), and if an edge (i, j) is on a shortest path from s to j , then $\hat{w}(i, j) = 0$.

Detailed algorithm:

Start with the zero flow f (so $G_f = G$),

Compute the initial distance labels $d(v)$ in G_f ; (use BF if some $w(i, j) < 0$, else Dijk.)

$$\hat{w}(i, j) \leftarrow w(i, j) + d(i) - d(j);$$

Repeat

Find a shortest A-Path P in G_f with respect to the $\hat{w}(i, j)$ values; (Dijk since no negative edges)

Augment along P and update flow f ;

Update G_f ;

Compute distance labels $d(v)$ in G_f using $\hat{w}(i, j)$ values; (can use Dijk since no negative edges)

$$\hat{w}(i, j) \leftarrow w(i, j) + d(i) - d(j) \text{ (update edge weights);}$$

Update weights in G_f to reflect new $\hat{w}(i, j)$ values.

Until

No A-Path P found.

The termination condition above is for a max-flow. If we want a target flow we stop instead when the flow value reaches the desired level (or if above it, simply change the final augmentation to be lower). Similarly for a target budget.

The run time is $O(mn)$ for an initial run of BF, and then each loop iteration is dominated by the time for Dijkstra ($O(m \log n)$ or so; all other operations are $O(m)$). If we have a unit capacity network, the max flow is n and we get a roughly $O(mn)$ run time. For general capacities the Repeat loop has no good bound.