

ECS 253 / MAE 253

HW5b: Some analytical and semi-analytical tools for generating functions (GFs)

Note: This homework focuses on a specific aspect of generating functions (GFs). To broaden your perspective, you are recommended to read Chapter 1 of generatingfunctionology by Herbert S. Wilf (freely accessible at <http://www.math.upenn.edu/~wilf/DownldGF.html>). You may also try your hand at the exercises of the same chapter, particularly exercises 1–6 and 8. The answers are all available at the end. **This is not part of the homework.**

1 Warming up

Suppose $f(x)$ is an ordinary generating function generating the sequence $(\phi_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$, i.e.,

$$f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_k x^k. \quad (1)$$

We use the notation $[x^n]f(x)$ to represent the coefficient multiplying x^n in the power series of $f(x)$ (in this case $[x^n]f(x) = \phi_n$). We say that $f(x)$ is a probability generating function (PGF) if the coefficients ϕ_k are to be interpreted as the probability $\mathbb{P}(K = k)$ that the random variable K takes the value k (and thus $0 \leq \phi_k \leq 1$ for all k). We say that a PGF $f(x)$ is normalized if $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_k = 1$.

- (a) Using proof by induction, show that $[x^n]f(x) = \frac{1}{n!} \left. \frac{d^n f(x)}{dx^n} \right|_{x=0}$
(i.e., show the relation holds for $n = 0$ and $n = 1$, and then for the general case $n + 1$.)
- (b) Assuming that $f(x)$ is a normalized PGF, show $f(1) = 1$.
- (c) Assuming that $f(x)$ is a normalized PGF, show $\mathbb{E}(K) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \mathbb{P}(K = k) = \left. \frac{df(x)}{dx} \right|_{x=1}$.
- (d) Assuming that $f(x)$ is a normalized PGF, find an expression in terms of derivatives of $f(x)$ for $\mathbb{E}(K^2) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^2 \mathbb{P}(K = k)$.
- (e) Suppose that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(K = k) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(K \text{ is infinite})$. If $\mathbb{P}(K \text{ is infinite}) > 0$, then the PGF $f(x)$ for the probability distribution $\mathbb{P}(K = k)$ is not normalized. Find the value for $\mathbb{P}(K \text{ is infinite})$. Then find the normalized PGF for the probability sequence $\mathbb{P}(K = k | K \text{ is finite})$.

2 Percolation: some analytical results

An infinite configuration model random graph has its degree distribution specified by $(p_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ (i.e., a node sampled uniformly at random has probability p_k to have degree k). In class, you have seen the following two generating functions, respectively for the *degree* of a node and *excess degree* of a node:

$$g_0(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k x^k \quad (2a)$$

$$g_1(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k x^k = \frac{g_0'(x)}{g_0'(1)} \quad \left(\text{with } q_k := \frac{(k+1)p_{k+1}}{\sum_{k'=0}^{\infty} k' p_{k'}} \right). \quad (2b)$$

Here q_k is the probability that a node reached by following a random edge has k *other* edges than the one we followed (and thus a total degree $k+1$). Following the line of reasoning in class we can then obtain the following PGFs

$$h_1(x) := (1-T) + T x g_1(h_1(x)) \quad (2c)$$

$$h_0(x) := x g_0(h_1(x)). \quad (2d)$$

The equation you saw in class had $T = 1$. The parameter T here is the same as you saw in the last homework: it may be interpreted as either the probability for an edge of the original configuration model to be present in the percolated one, or as the probability for a spreading process to spread along an edge when it encounters it. Equation (??) may thus be interpreted as follows: with probability $1-T$, the edge is not followed and there should be no powers of x contributing here, and with probability T the edge is followed normally (hence the term $x g_1(h_1(x))$). In summary, the probability of reaching r nodes by following a random edge with probability T is $[x^r] h_1(x)$, and the probability of reaching s nodes by starting at a node selected uniformly at random (and including that node) is $[x^s] h_0(x)$.

In the case $T = 1$, you saw that the network contains a giant component when $g_1'(x) > 1$. In the more general case where $0 \leq T \leq 1$, there may be no giant component even if $g_1'(x) > 1$. In fact, there is a critical value of T , noted T_c , over which a giant component exists. Hence, for $T \leq T_c$, there are only small components and the PGF $g_0(x)$ should thus be normalized. Moreover, the average size of the small components should diverge when $T = T_c$.

- (a) Differentiate both sides of Eq. (??) w.r.t. x and solve for $h_1'(x)$ for the case where T is under threshold, i.e., $h_1(1) = 1$. Find T_c in terms of average degree $\langle k \rangle := \mathbb{E}(K)$ and the second moment $\langle k^2 \rangle := \mathbb{E}(K^2)$.
- (b) What is T_c if the degree distribution follows a power law $p_k \propto k^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma = 2.5$?
- (c) Suppose that the highest degree present in the network is 3 (i.e., only p_0, p_1, p_2 and p_3 may be nonzero). Obtain a closed form for $h_0(x)$. You will need the quadratic formula to obtain $h_1(x)$, and recall $h_1(1) = 1$ for $T < T_c$ to decide which of the two roots of the quadratic provides a physically valid answer.

- (d) Obtain T_c in the case $p_0 = 0, p_1 = 0.2, p_2 = 0.5, p_3 = 0.3$, and $p_k = 0$ for $k > 3$. Obtain $h_0(1)$ for $T = 0.70, T = 0.75$ and $T = 0.80$. What is the size of the giant component (if any) in each of these cases?

3 Percolation: semi-analytical results

- (a) Dust-off the code you created for exercise 2(e) of the last homework. Using the parameters `number_simulations= 10000`, `n = (0, 200, 500, 300)`, and version \mathcal{A} (spreading), run your code to estimate the probability distribution for the number s of reached nodes for $T = 0.70, T = 0.75$ and $T = 0.80$. In each case, create a log-log graph showing the probability as a function of the number of reached nodes s . Plot each value with a small dot without lines joining them.
- (b) Suppose $p_0 = 0, p_1 = 0.2, p_2 = 0.5, p_3 = 0.3$, and $p_k = 0$ for $k > 3$. Use the DFT method with $M = 1001$ (see next page) to extract the coefficients $[x^s]h_0(x)$ from the solution you obtained in exercise 2(c) in the following three cases: $T = 0.70, T = 0.75$ and $T = 0.80$. Display your results on the same three log-log plots as in exercise ??, this time using a plain thin line without markers.

NOTE; Usually, you will not have access to such an analytical solution for $h_0(z)$. Fortunately, you can also build a recurrence equation (??). Indeed, for a given z , you can estimate $h_1(z)$ as using $h_1^{(L)}(z)$ defined as follows: $h_1^{(0)}(z) = 0$, and $h_1^{(L+1)}(z) = (1 - T) + Tzg_1(h_1^{(L)}(z))$. You can then estimate $h_0(z)$ using $h_0^{(L)}(z) = zg_0(h_1^{(L)}(z))$. **You do not do this here, but our solutions will show how to:**

- **Create a function receiving $T, (p_k)_{k=0}^K$ and L and returning $h_0^{(L)}(z)$.**
- **Use the DFT method to extract the coefficients $[z^n]h_0^{(10)}$ for $p_0 = 0, p_1 = 0.2, p_2 = 0.5, p_3 = 0.3$, and $p_k = 0$ for $k > 3$, and in the three cases $T = 0.70, T = 0.75$ and $T = 0.80$. Report these results on the same 3 plots as before, this time using a dotted line.**
- **Do the same for $[z^n]h_0^{(100)}$, this time using a wide dashed line.**