

## Problem Set 10 Solutions

*Note the unusual day for this (minimal) assignment being due.*

**Problem 1.** Let  $SAT20 = \{\langle \phi \rangle : \phi \text{ has at least twenty different satisfying assignments}\}$ . Show that  $SAT20$  is NP-complete.

First, it is easy to see that  $SAT20 \in NP$ . On input of a Boolean formula  $\phi$ , a verifier could *guess* twenty Boolean assignments,  $t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_{20}$ , and then verify that these assignments are different from one another and that each satisfies  $\phi$ . In other words, the certificate consists of 20 distinct satisfying assignments  $t_1$  through  $t_{20}$ .

To show that  $SAT20$  is NP-hard, we show that  $SAT \leq_P SAT20$ . The function  $f$  that maps an instance  $\phi$  of SAT to an instance  $\phi'$  of SAT20 works as follows:

$$\phi' = \phi \wedge (x_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3 \vee x_4 \vee x_5),$$

where each  $x_i$  is new variable (they don't occur in  $\phi$ ). This reduction is certainly polynomial time. Now if  $\phi$  is unsatisfiable, certainly  $\phi'$  is, too, since we have only conjuncted an additional term. But if  $\phi$  has some satisfying assignments  $t$ , then  $\phi'$  has at least 31 (and therefore at least 20) satisfying assignments, (corresponding to the 32 different ways of extending  $t$  to the new variables  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5$ ).

**Problem 2.** A graph  $G = (V, E)$  is said to be  $k$ -colorable if there is a way to paint its vertices using colors in  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  such that no adjacent vertices are painted the same color. Let  $G3C$  denote the language of encodings of 3-colorable graphs. Let  $G4C$  denote the language of encodings of 4-colorable graphs. The language  $G3C$  is NP-Complete. (We will prove this on Monday.) Use this to prove that  $G4C$  is NP-Complete, too.

First, it is easy to see that  $G4C$  is in NP. Given a graph  $G = (V, E)$  you need only *guess* a coloring  $c : V \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and then verify that  $c(x) \neq c(y)$  for all  $\{x, y\} \in E$  (as well as  $c(x), c(y) \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .) Clearly this takes a polynomial amount of time.

Now we have to show  $G3C \leq_P G4C$ . Given a graph  $G = (V, E)$  (an instance of the  $G3C$  problem) we produce a graph  $G' = (V', E')$  as follows:  $V' = V \cup \{z\}$ , and  $E' = E \cup \{\{x, z\} : x \in V\}$ , where  $z$  is a name for a vertex not in  $V$ . That is,  $G'$  is constructed by adding a new vertex to  $G$  and connecting it to every node of  $G$ . Clearly if  $G$  is 3-colorable then  $G'$  will be 4-colorable; just use the new color for the newly-added vertex. Conversely, if  $G'$  is 4-colorable then  $G$  must be 3-colorable, since the color used for vertex  $z$  has to be different from the color used on every other vertex, and so restricting the coloring  $c'$  of  $G'$  to the nodes of  $G$  will immediately give a 3-coloring of  $G$ , apart from the names of the colors used. Finally, observe that the reduction itself is polynomial-time computable.