## **Problem Set 5 Solutions**

Problem 1. Specify a CFG for the language

 $L = \{x \in \{\text{bass, chicken, carp, turkey}\}^* : x \text{ contains as much fish as fowl}\}$ 

(meaning that the number of occurrences in x of substrings bass and carp should be at least the number occurrences in x of substrings chicken and turkey. Make your CFG as simple to understand as you can.

A CFG for this language is given by

**Problem 2.** Prove that every regular language is context free. Do this by showing how to convert a DFA  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  into a CFG  $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$  of roughly the same size.

Given the DFA  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  we construct the CFG  $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$  by asserting that

- V = Q
- For  $p, q \in Q$  and  $a \in \Sigma$ , put  $p \to aq \in R$  iff  $\delta(p, a) = q$ ; and put  $p \to \varepsilon \in R$  iff  $p \in F$ .
- $S = q_0$

To show L(M) = L(G):

- First we show that if  $x \in L(M)$  then  $x \in L(G)$ , because we can derive x in G as follows: If  $x = \varepsilon \in L(M)$  then we derive x by  $q_0 \Rightarrow \varepsilon$ . If  $x = a_1 \cdots a_n \in L(G)$ , with  $a_i \in \Sigma$ , then we derive x by  $q_0 \Rightarrow a_1 \delta^*(q_0, a_1) \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 \delta^*(q_0, a_1 a_2) \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 a_3 \delta^*(q_0, a_1 a_2 a_3) \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 a_3 \cdots a_n \delta^*(q_0, a_1 a_2 a_3 \cdots a_n) \Rightarrow a_1 a_2 a_3 \cdots a_n \varepsilon = x.$
- Next we show that if  $x \in L(G)$  then  $x \in L(M)$ . For  $x \in L(G)$  means there's a derivation of x from  $q_0$  and, because of the limited rules in our CFG, the derivation can only look like  $q_0 \Rightarrow a_1q_1 \Rightarrow a_1a_2q_2 \Rightarrow a_1a_2a_3q_3 \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow a_1a_2a_3\cdots a_nq_n \Rightarrow a_1a_2a_3\cdots a_n\varepsilon = x$  where each  $a_i \in \Sigma$  and  $q_i \in Q$ . But then  $x \in L(M)$ , for  $q_0q_1\cdots q_n$  is a path in the DFA from the start state to the final state labeled by x.
- **Problem 3.** Prove that every regular language is context free. Do this by showing how to convert a regular expression  $\alpha$  into a CFG  $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$  of roughly the same size.

By induction. The regular expressions  $a \ (a \in \Sigma)$ ,  $\varepsilon$ , and  $\emptyset$  have CFGs  $S \to a$ ,  $S \to S$ , and  $S \to \varepsilon$ , respectively. Now suppose we have built CFGs  $G_{\alpha} = (V_{\alpha}, \Sigma, S_{\alpha}, R_{\alpha})$  and  $G_{\beta} = (V_{\beta}, \Sigma, S_{\beta}, R_{\beta})$  for regular expressions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Rename symbols, if necessary, so that  $V_{\alpha}$  and  $V_{\beta}$  are disjoint. Then we can build a CFG for  $(\alpha \circ \beta)$  by  $(V_{\alpha} \cup V_{\beta} \cup \{S\}, \Sigma, S, R_{\alpha} \cup R_{\beta} \cup \{(S, S_{\alpha}S_{\beta}\}))$ . Similarly, we can build a CFG for  $(\alpha \cup \beta)$  by  $(V_{\alpha} \cup V_{\beta} \cup \{S\}, \Sigma, S, R_{\alpha} \cup R_{\beta} \cup \{(S, S_{\alpha}), (S, S_{\beta})\})$ . And we can build a CFG for  $(\alpha^*)$  by  $(V_{\alpha} \cup \{S\}, \Sigma, S, R_{\alpha} \cup \{(S, SS_{\alpha}), (S, \varepsilon)\})$ .