

ECS 20 Discrete Math: Discussion 3, Mon 10/14/2013

To express a Boolean expression in CNF from a truth table, for example

A	B	X
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	0
1	1	1

Write an equation in terms of what X cannot be using the 0-rows. In this example X cannot be  $\bar{A}\bar{B}$  and it cannot be  $A\bar{B}$ :

$$X = \overline{(\bar{A}\bar{B})} \overline{(A\bar{B})}$$

Use De Morgan's Law  $\overline{AB} = \bar{A} \vee \bar{B}$  on each clause to achieve OR relations:

$$X = (A \vee B)(\bar{A} \vee B)$$

Write a CNF formula for X and Y.

A	B	C	X	Y
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	1
0	1	0	1	0
0	1	1	1	0
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	1
1	1	0	0	1
1	1	1	1	1

$$X = \overline{(\bar{A}\bar{B}\bar{C})} \overline{(\bar{A}\bar{B}C)} \overline{(A\bar{B}C)} \overline{(ABC)}$$

$$X = (A \vee B \vee C)(A \vee B \vee \bar{C})(\bar{A} \vee B \vee \bar{C})(\bar{A} \vee \bar{B} \vee C)$$

$$Y = (A \vee B \vee C)(A \vee \bar{B} \vee C)(A \vee \bar{B} \vee \bar{C})(\bar{A} \vee B \vee C)$$

Complete the following table, answering T or F given the universe of discourse indicated.  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes all positive integers. ( $\mathbb{Z}$  on PS3 denotes all integers.)

	$\mathbb{R}$	$\mathbb{N}$
$\exists x \exists y (x + y = 10)$	T	T
$\forall x \forall y (x + y = 10)$	F	F
$\exists x \forall y (x + y = 10)$	F	F
$\forall x \exists y (x + y = 10)$	T	F
$\forall x \exists y (x + y < 0)$	T	F
$\exists x \forall y (x + y \geq 0)$	F	T
$\forall x (x < 5 \rightarrow \forall y (y < x \rightarrow y < 4))$	F	T

Write each of the following sentences into formulas of quantification logic, introducing predicates as needed.

- a) There is someone in this discussion who did not have breakfast.

Let the universe of discourse be people.  $D(x)$  be in this discussion.  $B(x)$  had breakfast.

$$\exists x(D(x) \wedge \neg B(x))$$

- b) Every ECS 20 student likes programming, but some ECS 20 students don't like math.

Let the universe of discourse be ECS 20 students.  $P(x)$  likes programming.  $M(x)$  likes math.

$$\forall x P(x) \wedge \exists y \neg M(y)$$

Negate each of the following statements. Recall that

$$\neg \forall x p(x) \equiv \exists x \neg p(x) \text{ and } \neg \exists x p(x) \equiv \forall x \neg p(x)$$

- a)  $\exists x \forall y p(x, y)$  negates to  $\forall x \exists y \neg p(x, y)$
- b)  $\forall x \forall y p(x, y)$  negates to  $\exists x \exists y \neg p(x, y)$
- c)  $\exists x \exists y \forall z p(x, y, z)$  negates to  $\forall x \forall y \exists z \neg p(x, y, z)$
- d)  $\forall x \exists y (p(x, y) \wedge \neg q(x, y))$  negates to  $\exists x \forall y \neg (p(x, y) \wedge \neg q(x, y))$   
Apply De Morgan's Law:  $\exists x \forall y (\neg p(x, y) \vee q(x, y))$
- e)  $(\exists x > 10)(\exists y) (x + y = p(x, y))$  negates to  $(\forall x > 10)(\forall y) \neg (x + y = p(x, y))$   
Apply negation:  $(\forall x > 10)(\forall y) (x + y \neq p(x, y))$
- f)  $\exists x \exists y (p(x) \leftrightarrow p(y))$  negates and expands to  $\forall x \forall y \neg [(p(x) \rightarrow p(y)) \wedge (p(y) \rightarrow p(x))]$

$$p(x) \rightarrow p(y) \equiv \neg p(x) \vee p(y): \forall x \forall y \neg [(\neg p(x) \vee p(y)) \wedge (\neg p(y) \vee p(x))]$$

$$\text{Apply De Morgan's Law: } \forall x \forall y [\neg(\neg p(x) \vee p(y)) \vee \neg(\neg p(y) \vee p(x))]$$

$$\text{Apply De Morgan's Law: } \forall x \forall y [(p(x) \wedge \neg p(y)) \vee (p(y) \wedge \neg p(x))]$$

NAND ( $\uparrow$ ) is logically complete.

$A \uparrow B = \neg(A \wedge B)$ . The truth table for  $\uparrow$ :

$A$	$B$	$A \wedge B$	$A \uparrow B$
0	0	0	1
0	1	0	1
1	0	0	1
1	1	1	0

Recall that  $\{\wedge, \vee, \neg\}$  is logically complete.

To represent  $\neg$  with  $\uparrow$ , consider the fact that a literal is equivalent to it ANDing itself, i.e.

$A = A \wedge A$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\neg A &= \neg(A \wedge A) \\ &= A \uparrow A\end{aligned}$$

by definition of  $\uparrow$ ;  $\neg$  is achieved.

Now consider representing  $\wedge$  with  $\uparrow$ :

$$\begin{aligned}A \wedge B &= \neg\neg(A \wedge B) \\ &= \neg(A \uparrow B) \\ &= (A \uparrow B) \uparrow (A \uparrow B)\end{aligned}$$

so  $\wedge$  is achieved.

Finally consider representing  $\vee$  with  $\uparrow$ , starting with De Morgan's Law:

$$\begin{aligned}A \vee B &= \neg(\neg A \wedge \neg B) \\ &= \neg[(A \uparrow A) \wedge (B \uparrow B)] \\ &= (A \uparrow A) \uparrow (B \uparrow B)\end{aligned}$$

by definition of  $\uparrow$ . So  $\vee$  is achieved. Hence  $\{\uparrow\}$  is logically complete.

For what the NAND gate looks like, and for the equivalent NAND circuits of NOT, AND, and OR circuits, visit <http://hyperphysics.phy-astr.gsu.edu/hbase/electronic/nand.html#c4>