

ECS 20 – Fall 2021 – P. Rogaway Numbers and Induction

<https://www.cs.wm.edu/~tadavis/cs243/ch05s.pdf>

Number Theory

1. constant symbol: 0
2. predicate symbol: <
3. function symbol:
 - S (1-ary) (successor function)
 - $+$ (2-ary)
 - \cdot (2-ary)
 - E (2-ary) omit because it's not part of PA?

Always add equality (=), which is reflexive, symmetric, transitive.

Already rich enough to make powerful statements in number theory.

Eg: **Fermat's Last Theorem**: no three positive integers a , b , and c satisfy the equation $a^n + b^n = c^n$ for any integer value of n greater than 2:

$$(\forall a) (\forall b) (\forall c) (\forall n) (a E n + b E n = c E n \rightarrow n > S(S(0)))$$

(Show how to define $>$ using $<$, $=$, and negation). Or, similarly, write

Goldbach's Conjecture in this language of number theory: that every even number more than 2 is the sum of two primes.

PA axioms from where? Now finding

<http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~sacook/csc438h/notes/page96.pdf>, which doesn't include 7, 8...

Axioms of arithmetic ("Peano arithmetic")(Giuseppe Peano, 1889)

1. $(\forall x) (S(x) \neq 0)$
2. $(\forall x)(\forall y)(S(x) = S(y) \rightarrow x = y)$
3. $(\forall x) (x + 0 = x)$
4. $(\forall x)(\forall y)(x + S(y) = S(x+y))$
5. $(\forall x) (x \cdot 0 = 0)$
6. $(\forall x)(\forall y)(x \cdot S(y) = x \cdot y + x)$
7. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (\forall c) (x < y \rightarrow x + c \leq y + c)$
8. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (\forall c) (x < y \rightarrow x \cdot c \leq y \cdot c)$

9. For all predicates P

$$(P(0) \wedge (\forall n)(P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1))) \rightarrow (\forall n)(P(n))$$

Not a 1st order property

Alternatively: If a **set** contains zero and the successor of every **number** is in the set, then the set contains the natural numbers. This form does not seem as directly useful.

Principle of mathematical induction *Different statement*

To prove a proposition $P(n)$ for all integers $n \geq n_0$:

- 1) Prove $P(n_0)$ (**Basis**)
- 2) Prove that $P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)$ for all $n > n_0$ (**Inductive step**)
(*Inductive assumption*)

The above sounds slightly more general (because I let you start at n_0), but easily seen to be equivalent.

Also equivalent: “**strong**” form of induction:

To prove a proposition $P(n)$ for all integers $n \geq n_0$:

- 1) Prove $P(n_0)$ (Basis)
- 2) Prove that $(P(1) \wedge \dots \wedge P(n)) \rightarrow P(n+1)$ for all $n > n_0$ (**inductive step**)

Again equivalent. Sometimes easier to apply. The stronger inductive assumption may make it easier to get the conclusion.

EXAMPLE 0: Prove that the sum of the first n integers $n(n+1)/2$. Do this in two ways, either: (a) pictorially; (b) by induction. But where does the formula come from? Options: (i) write a table; (ii) use a definite integral to help make a guess; (iii) solve a system of equations using values from table.

EXAMPLE 1: Prove that the sum of the **odd** integers $2 \dots 2n-1$ is n^2

$$1 + 3 + \dots + (2n-1) = n^2.$$

Basis: $n=1$, check

Inductive step:

$$\begin{aligned}
1 + 3 + \dots + (2n - 3) &= (n - 1)^2 \\
+ 2n - 1 &= \quad + 2n - 1 \\
&= n^2 - 2n + 1 + 2n - 1 = 1 \\
&= n^2
\end{aligned}$$

EXAMPLE 2: Use induction to prove that n^2+n is always even (divisible by 2).

Basis: $n=0$: fine.

Inductive step: Assume that the statement is true for $n=k$. Thus, k^2+k is even. That is, $k^2+k = 2j$ for some integer j . Now what about $(k+1)^2+(k+1)$ – is it necessarily even?? Expanding out, this expression is

$$\begin{aligned}
k^2 + 2k + 1 + k + 1 &= k^2 + 3k + 2 = k^2 + k + 2k + 2 \\
&= 2j + 2k + 2 = 2(j+k+1)
\end{aligned}$$

so it is even

Now, write k^2+k as part of an equation which denotes that it is divisible by 2.

EXAMPLE 3. Sam's Dept. Store sells envelopes in packages of 5 and 12. Prove that, for any $n \geq 44$, the store can sell you exactly n envelopes. [GP, p.147]

Basis: $44 = 2(12) + 4(5)$
 $45 = 9(5)$
 $46 = 3(12) + 2(5)$
 ?...?

SUPPOSE: It is possible to buy n envelopes for some $n \geq 44$.

SHOW: It is possible to buy $n+1$ envelopes

	x		x	xx	x	x		x	x	xx	x		x	x	xx		x	x	xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

- If purchasing at least 7 packets of 5: trade in seven packets of five for three packets of 12:

$$\begin{array}{r} 7(5) \rightarrow 3(12) \\ 35 \quad 36 \end{array}$$

- If purchasing **fewer** than 7 packets of 5: i.e., purchasing **at most** 6 packets of 5, so **at most 30** of the envelopes are in packets of 5; so what remains are $\geq 44 - 30 = 14$ envelopes being bought in packets of 12, so ≥ 2 packets of twelve. So take **2 of the packets of 12** (i.e., 24 envelopes) and **trade them for 5 packets of 5**:

$$\begin{array}{r} 2(12) \rightarrow 5(5) \\ 24 \quad 25 \end{array}$$

EXAMPLE 4: Show that you can tile **any** "punctured" $2^n \times 2^n$ grid by *triominoes* <https://undergroundmathematics.org/divisibility-and-induction/triominoes/solution>

(may be rotated)

Illustrate and prove, dividing board in into four $2^n \times 2^n$ to prove. Puncture the $2^{n+1} \times 2^{n+1}$ grid; tile that one of the four subgrids (by inductive assumption); puncturing three the three near-center center points (for the three $2^n \times 2^n$ pieces that lacking the puncture); recurse on those three pieces; add one more tromino.

EXAMPLE 5: Fundamental theorem of arithmetic. – Almost verbatim from <https://www.cs.wm.edu/~tadavis/cs243/ch05s.pdf> An example of “strong” induction”.

Claim: if n is an integer greater than 1, then n can be written as the [unique] product of primes. *Solution:* Let $P(n)$ be the proposition that n can be written as a product of primes. // Leave uniqueness for later, or omit it.

Basis: $P(2)$ is true since 2 itself is prime.

Inductive step: The inductive hypothesis is $P(j)$ is true for all integers j with $2 \leq j \leq k$. To show that $P(k+1)$ must be true under this assumption. Two cases need to be considered:

- If $k + 1$ is prime, then $P(k + 1)$ is true.
- Otherwise, $k + 1$ is composite and can be written as the product of two positive integers a and b with $2 \leq a \leq b < k + 1$. By the inductive hypothesis a and b can be written as the product of primes and therefore $k + 1$ can also be written as the product of those primes. Hence, it has been shown that every integer greater than 1 can be written as the product of primes.

Uniqueness:

Let N be the *smallest* number that can be written in *two different ways* as the product of primes. Those two ways can have no prime in common or else we'd divide by it and have a smaller number that could be written in two different ways as the product of primes. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} N &= p_1 p_2 \dots p_m = q_1 q_2 \dots q_n \\ &= p_1 P = q_1 Q \end{aligned}$$

Where $p_1 < q_1$ and the primes on the left, listed in increasing order, are disjoint from those on the right, also listed in increasing order. Now

$$(q_1 - p_1) Q < N$$

I claim that $(q_1 - p_1) Q$ is a multiple of p_1 , namely

$$p_1 (P - Q) = (q_1 - p_1) Q$$

because

$$p_1 P - p_1 Q = N - p_1 Q$$

and

$$(q_1 - p_1) Q = q_1 Q - p_1 Q = N - p_1 Q.$$

By the unique factorization of number less than N we know that p_1 must occur in the factorization of $(q_1 - p_1) Q$ or in the factorization of Q .

- The first is impossible because if p_1 divides $q_1 - p_1$ then it divides q_1 , but p_1 and q_1 are distinct primes.
- The second is impossible because the factors of Q were bigger than (as well as distinct from) p_1

Done.

The fundamental theorem of arithmetic is useful. We routinely like to think of numbers in terms of their prime factorization. Many questions become easier if presented a number in this form. Example:

How many factors does 360 have?

First, write 360 in its prime factorized form:

$$360 = 2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$$

A factor must be of the form $2^a 3^b 5^c$ where $a \in [0..3]$, $b \in [0..2]$, $c \in [0..1]$.

So the number of factors 360 has is $4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 = 24$.

If I asked you how many factors $2450250000 = 2^4 3^4 5^6 11^2$ has, you would answer $5 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 3 = 525$

Is 1873215592 a square? No, because you can divide it by 2 three times, but no no more, so the factorization is $2^3 \cdot M$ where the prime factorization of M has no 2s in it; and a number is going to be a square iff all the powers of primes in the prime factorization are *even*.

EXAMPLE 6: Cake cutting

See <http://www.cs.berkeley.edu/~daw/teaching/cs70-s08/notes/n8.pdf> for a nice writeup

1. If $n = 2$, use the cut-and-choose protocol. Otherwise:
2. The first $n-1$ participants divide the cake by recursively invoking this procedure.
3. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, do:
 - a) Participant i divides her share into n pieces she considers of equal worth (by her measure).
 - b) Participant n collects whichever of those n pieces he considers to be worth most (by his measure).

Number of cuts:

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + (n-1)^2$$

1	2	3	4	5	6
0	1	5	14	30	55

$$\begin{aligned}
T(n) &= T(n-1) + (n-1)^2 \\
&= T(n-2) + (n-1)^2 + (n-2)^2 \\
&= T(n-3) + (n-1)^2 + (n-2)^2 + (n-3)^2 \\
&= T(n-3) + (n-1)^2 + (n-2)^2 + (n-3)^2 \\
&= 1 + 2^2 + 3^2 + 4^2 + \dots + (n-1)^2 \\
&\text{approx. } \int_1^n x^2 \text{ approx } n^3/3
\end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i^2 = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}.$$

Prove by induction.

Axioms of arithmetic (“Peano arithmetic”)(Giuseppe Peano, 1889)

1. $(\forall x) (S(x) \neq 0)$
2. $(\forall x)(\forall y)(S(x) = S(y) \rightarrow x = y)$
3. $(\forall x) (x + 0 = x)$
4. $(\forall x)(\forall y)(x + S(y) = S(x+y))$
5. $(\forall x) (x \cdot 0 = 0)$
6. $(\forall x)(\forall y)(x \cdot S(y) = x \cdot y + x)$
7. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (\forall c) (x < y \rightarrow x + c \leq y + c)$
8. $(\forall x)(\forall y) (\forall c) (x < y \rightarrow x \cdot c \leq y \cdot c)$

9. For all predicates P

$$(P(0) \wedge (\forall n)(P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1))) \rightarrow (\forall n)(P(n))$$

Not a 1st order property