Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition*


Wei-Pang Jan, Xuanqing Liu

* Most of the figures/tables credit to He et al. *Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition* In CVPR 2016


Motivation

Revolution of Depth and Complexity

AlexNet, 8 layers
(ILSVRC 2012)




VGG, 19 layers
(ILSVRC 2014)



GoogleNet, 22 layers
(ILSVRC 2014)





Revolution of Depth



Is deeper network better at learning?

Gradient Vanishing/Exploding





http://neuralnetworksanddeeplearning.com/chap5.html

Batch Normalization

Input: Values of x over a mini-batch: $\mathcal{B} = \{x_{1...m}\}$;
Parameters to be learned: γ, β
Output: $\{y_i = \mathrm{BN}_{\gamma,\beta}(x_i)\}$

$$\mu_{\mathcal{B}} \leftarrow \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \qquad \text{// mini-batch mean}$$

$$\sigma^2_{\mathcal{B}} \leftarrow \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(x_i - \mu_{\mathcal{B}})^2 \qquad \text{// mini-batch variance}$$


$$\widehat{x}_i \leftarrow \frac{x_i - \mu_{\mathcal{B}}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2_{\mathcal{B}} + \epsilon}} \qquad \text{// normalize}$$

$$y_i \leftarrow \gamma\widehat{x}_i + \beta \equiv \mathrm{BN}_{\gamma,\beta}(x_i) \qquad \text{// scale and shift}$$

Prevents the gradient at each iteration from becoming too large or too small

S. Ioffe et al. Batch normalization: *Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift.* In ICML 2015

Is deeper network better at learning?




ResNet Intuitions


Identity Mapping

If the “extra” layers are identity functions,


The network on the right should **perform “at least” as well as** the network on the left



Residual Learning




Residual Learning



$$F(x) = H(x) - x$$

Residual Learning - Match the Dimension



Linear transform
Wx

When input/output channel don't match:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}, \{W_i\}) + W_s\mathbf{x}.$$


Shortcuts

Feedforward low level feature to deeper layers


- Feature reuse
- Reduces number of parameter

Resolves vanishing gradient

- y = f(x) vs. y = f(x) + x





Resolving Gradient Vanishing Problem



Bottleneck Architectures

Compress and then expand channel through 1x1 conv







Experiments

Architecture


layer name	output size	18-layer	34-layer	50-layer	101-layer	152-layer
conv1	112×112	7×7, 64, stride 2				
conv2_x	56×56	3×3 max pool, stride 2				
		$\begin{bmatrix} 3\times3, 64 \\ 3\times3, 64 \end{bmatrix}\times2$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3\times3, 64 \\ 3\times3, 64 \end{bmatrix}\times3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\times1, 64 \\ 3\times3, 64 \\ 1\times1, 256 \end{bmatrix}\times3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\times1, 64 \\ 3\times3, 64 \\ 1\times1, 256 \end{bmatrix}\times3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\times1, 64 \\ 3\times3, 64 \\ 1\times1, 256 \end{bmatrix}\times3$
conv3_x	28×28	$\begin{bmatrix} 3\times3, 128 \\ 3\times3, 128 \end{bmatrix}\times2$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3\times3, 128 \\ 3\times3, 128 \end{bmatrix}\times4$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\times1, 128 \\ 3\times3, 128 \\ 1\times1, 512 \end{bmatrix}\times4$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\times1, 128 \\ 3\times3, 128 \\ 1\times1, 512 \end{bmatrix}\times4$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\times1, 128 \\ 3\times3, 128 \\ 1\times1, 512 \end{bmatrix}\times8$
conv4_x	14×14	$\begin{bmatrix} 3\times3, 256 \\ 3\times3, 256 \end{bmatrix}\times2$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3\times3, 256 \\ 3\times3, 256 \end{bmatrix}\times6$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\times1, 256 \\ 3\times3, 256 \\ 1\times1, 1024 \end{bmatrix}\times6$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\times1, 256 \\ 3\times3, 256 \\ 1\times1, 1024 \end{bmatrix}\times23$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\times1, 256 \\ 3\times3, 256 \\ 1\times1, 1024 \end{bmatrix}\times36$
conv5_x	7×7	$\begin{bmatrix} 3\times3, 512 \\ 3\times3, 512 \end{bmatrix}\times2$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3\times3, 512 \\ 3\times3, 512 \end{bmatrix}\times3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\times1, 512 \\ 3\times3, 512 \\ 1\times1, 2048 \end{bmatrix}\times3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\times1, 512 \\ 3\times3, 512 \\ 1\times1, 2048 \end{bmatrix}\times3$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\times1, 512 \\ 3\times3, 512 \\ 1\times1, 2048 \end{bmatrix}\times3$
	1×1	average pool, 1000-d fc, softmax				
FLOPs		1.8×10^9	3.6×10^9	3.8×10^9	7.6×10^9	11.3×10^9


ImageNet Experiment Result





CIFAR-10 Experiment Result





Identity vs. Projection Shortcuts

model	top-1 err.	top-5 err.
VGG-16 [41]	28.07	9.33
GoogLeNet [44]	-	9.15
PReLU-net [13]	24.27	7.38
plain-34	28.54	10.02
ResNet-34 A	25.03	7.76
ResNet-34 B	24.52	7.46
ResNet-34 C	24.19	7.40
ResNet-50	22.85	6.71
ResNet-101	21.75	6.05
ResNet-152	**21.43**	**5.71**

Result Comparison on ImageNet

method	top-5 err. (**test**)
VGG [41] (ILSVRC'14)	7.32
GoogLeNet [44] (ILSVRC'14)	6.66
VGG [41] (v5)	6.8
PReLU-net [13]	4.94
BN-inception [16]	4.82
ResNet (ILSVRC'15)	**3.57**

Model Size


method			error (%)
Maxout [10]			9.38
NIN [25]			8.81
DSN [24]			8.22
	# layers	# params	
FitNet [35]	19	2.5M	8.39
Highway [42, 43]	19	2.3M	7.54 (7.72±0.16)
Highway [42, 43]	32	1.25M	8.80
ResNet	20	0.27M	8.75
ResNet	32	0.46M	7.51
ResNet	44	0.66M	7.17
ResNet	56	0.85M	6.97
ResNet	110	1.7M	**6.43** (6.61±0.16)
ResNet	1202	19.4M	7.93

Strength & Weakness

- Make super deep networks possible to train and generalize well ☺
- Speed-up convergence ☺
- Only consider about the depth, ignoring width 😖


Questions?

Extension - ResNeXt



Xie et al. Aggregated Residual Transformations for Deep Neural Networks, in CVPR 2017.

Extension - DenseNet



Huang et al. Densely Connected Convolutional Networks, in CVPR 2017.